

# identity

Magazine of the British National Party

Issue 102  
£4.95

## Thoughts From America

Labour's Communist Connections


Annual Conference Report






# EXCALIBUR


**NEW RANGE OF LONG AND SHORT SLEEVE T-SHIRTS AVAILABLE IN THE FOLLOWING DESIGNS:**



**English - St George**




**English - Alfred**



**Welsh - Owain**



**Scottish - Wallace**



**Magna Carta - Crusader**



**Immigration Eyes**




**Anglo-Saxon**



**British Hero -- Boudicca**




**British Hero -- Alfred**



**British Hero -- Lionheart**




**British Hero -- Nelson**



**British Hero -- Newton**



**British Hero -- Powell**



"As I look ahead, I am filled with foreboding. Like the Romans, I seem to see the River Thames flowing with much blood."

"We must be most literally mad, as a nation to be permitting the annual inflow of some 50,000 dependants, who are for the most part the material of the future growth of the immigrant descended population. It is like watching a nation busily engaged in heaving up its own funeral pyre."

"Only resolute and urgent action will avert it even now. Whether there will be the public will to demand and debate that action, I do not know. All I know is that to see, and not to speak, would be the great betrayal."

— Enoch Powell, 20 April 1968

**Enoch Powell  
"Rivers of Blood."**

Shirt is printed on both sides, with extract of Powell's famous speech on the reverse.  
T-Shirt: £13.20 inc. p&p.  
Longsleeve: £17.94 inc. p&p.

**Short sleeve T-Shirt £12 each including p&p.**

**Longsleeve T-Shirt 16.74 each including p&p.**

**Sizes available: Small, Medium, Large, XL and XXL.**

**All T-shirts individually printed, please allow 14 days for delivery.**

**Cheques/Postal Orders payable to "Excalibur"**

**Order by mail from Excalibur, P.O. Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW**


**Online at <https://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>**

**Or by telephone at 0871 0500 242 \***

**\* Calls cost 10p per minute from a BT landline**







## REGULARS

05 - Editorial  
Editorial Name

06 - News in Brief

08 - News Commentary

Flag Dropped from New ID Card  
The Cost of Immigration: £13 Billion  
per year  
Islamic Subversion of our Armed  
Forces  
Mass Immigration was Planned  
Who Briefs the EU Non-Entity  
Rulers?  
The Global Warming Scam

## ARTICLES

14 - Thoughts from America  
By John Humphrey

17 - Tough Times for Mothers  
By Fleur White

18 - The Falsity of the Mongrel  
Nation  
By JS from Essex

20 - Black Support for the BNP  
By Paul TWINØMÜCÜNGÜZİ

23 - Soldier's Mother Banned from  
the Post Office

24 - Support British Culture &  
Maintain our Traditions  
By Diane Brit

26 - Preconceptions &  
Misconceptions  
By Karen Otty

30 - The Stereotype Crumbles  
By Bea Kaye



32 - Annual Conference 2009  
Motions & Pictures

36 - Coming Next on the BBC: Sex  
With Babies?  
By Tim Heydon

## IDEOLOGY

40 - Nick Griffin on Britain & Europe

Nick Griffin was interviewed by Serbian journalist Igor Zivanovic for the leading Belgrade daily paper Danas. His answers make it clear where the BNP stands on Europe.

44 - The Grey Executive's Club

Peter Mills suggests we need to understand the political noose which is already being slipped round the neck of the population and being remorselessly tightened.

48 - High Keynes Low Carbon

Andrew McKillop is a former chief policy analyst, Division A Policy, DG XVII Energy, European Commission. We publish his exclusive article in Identity.

56 - Labour's Communist  
Connections


John Bean asks why is it that because BNP activists were National Front supporters in their youth they must never be forgiven? Yet several leading Labour politicians were once active supporters of Communism.

60 - Speakers' Corner  
Readers' letters

56



36



40



44



48







Victory for the Underdog

The BBC Question Time programme featuring Nick Griffin's first appearance as a panellist had more in common with a 1930s Soviet-style court trial than a democratic exchange of political viewpoints. The initial reaction of many who support the BNP was that this travesty of a Question Time programme did little to advance the interests of either the BNP or its leader. The puffed-up representatives of the liberal-left who performed the judge (Dimbleby) and jury at the show trial were on the night metaphorically able to crow: "Aren't we marvellous? We saw off the wicked Griffin and democracy is safe".

Then in a matter of 48 hours the evidence began to show that they had once again underestimated the British public. The people of these islands like to see fair play, even for those whose views they do not (at present) entirely agree with. Nick Griffin had been unfairly treated; he was the underdog. Their response was shown by the flood of 4,000 applications for membership in that 48 hour period and 10,000 requesting to be put on the BNP's e-mail information list.

Further proof that QT did not sink the good ship BNP, nor drown its captain, came five days later in an article by Sue Carroll (who positively hates us) in Labour's own popular propaganda sheet, the Daily Mirror. She said:

"Question Time and the BNP. What an unholy farce. After 20 minutes I'd predicted the outcome. Panel 0 Nick Griffin 1. ... But rational debate was allowed to turn into unfettered rage which even David Dimbleby struggled to control.

"And what on earth was Bonnie Greer, an American-born playwright, doing there? Where were the working class voters from Burnley? Or from

anywhere north of Edgware? ... They played into the hands of a bully and allowed him to look like the victim."

On reflection that QT programme will go down as the event that cemented the BNP breakthrough to the main political stage.

### 'Europe is Dying'

As has been stated elsewhere in this journal and on the BNP website, what smacks of hypocrisy is that Labour politicians, such as Jack Straw and Mandleson, and also media writers who were Communist sympathisers in their youth like to quote comments - usually out of context, as they did in the QT programme, made by Nick Griffin when he was a National Front member. The Reds can be forgiven their past, but not a former NF supporter, particularly where Jews or Israel are concerned.

The world today is an entirely different place to the 1930s and whilst acknowledging the wickedness of the Holocaust, modern Nationalism has no quarrel with the Jewish people. Not least because they seem to be more aware than the Christians and Pagans of the threat facing the whole of Europe.

None more so than Lord Sacks, Britain's Chief Rabbi, who in November warned that Europe is "dying" because its secular population is too selfish to have children. He pointed out that the continent's population was in decline because people cared more about shopping than the sacrifice involved in parenthood.

"Where today in European culture with its consumerism and instant gratification - 'because your worth it - will you find space for the concept of sacrifice for the sake of generations not yet born?"

John Bean

## identity

Editor: John Bean  
Design: Mark Collett  
Issue 102

Public reference:  
ISSN 1469-7971  
Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 17, Amlwch,  
LL68 8AB

Tel: 0871 050 0238

subscriptions@bnp.org.uk  
www.identitymagazine.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:  
PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.  
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk



### Our MEPs Support British Miners

**N**ick Griffin has responded positively to a letter from the National Union of Mineworkers drawing his attention to the EU-Colombia Free Trade Agreement (FTA) that is currently being negotiated. The British National Party chairman told the NUM that he would be campaigning against the agreement for two reasons. Firstly because the European Union should not be negotiating trade agreements that have a detrimental effect on British industry and British jobs, and secondly because Britain should not be importing coal from Colombia (above) when we still have large reserves of coal still to be excavated in our own mines. Britain used to produce the majority of its own coal requirements. Before the



Miners' Strike we produced 130m tonnes and imported only 6 m. After the first Miners Strike, coal production declined and imports rose steadily until, by 2001, Britain was importing more coal (36 m tonnes) than we produced from our own mines (32 m.)

### Another UKIP MEP in Fraud Inquiry



**W**ith former UKIP MEP Tom Wise serving a two-year jail sentence for embezzling funds out of his assistant's allowance, leading MEP for the party Michael Nattrass was being investigated as we go to press by the European Union's anti-fraud watchdog over his use of expenses.

Nattrass, who at one time was considered to follow Nigel Farage as the UKIP leader, is the subject of an inquiry into whether Denis Brooks, one of his former aides, was paid through public funds while he was working as a regional organiser for UKIP. The EU does not

allow taxpayers' money to be used to pay party officials.

### Foreign Aid £293m - Cumbrian Floods £1m

**I**n the same week that Gordon Brown announced £1 million in flood aid to Cumbria, the Department for International Development gave out £293m in foreign aid to Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Sierra Leone, Ethiopia and the World Bank.

No criticism of this imbalance of aid from debt-ridden Britain was reported from any Tory or Lib-Dem MP.

### 92% of Gang Rapists are Non-White

**A** report ordered by the Metropolitan Police has revealed that 9 percent of all gang rapists in London are non-white. Fifty percent of all the victims are white. Eight percent of all gang rape suspect in 2008/9 were

white, 32 percent black, and 24 percent contained members of different ethnicities.

### Stopping BNP MEPs Visiting Parliament



**T**raditionally, MEPs have been given security passes to Westminster. But with two BNP MEPs being elected last June Harriet Harman quickly moved the goal posts by tabling a motion barring all MEPs visiting Parliament. Her spokesman said the BNP was not singled out, it is about all MEPs".

Of course, of course.

### Dave Gives Priority to Ethnic Candidates



**M**uch has been said in the media about Cameron's drive to make Tory party constituencies give priority to the adoption of women candidates in winnable seats (although the BNP is always looking for more women candidates, adoption is always based on who is the most suitable and



not on gender). Little has been said, though, that Dave and chums are setting out to out do both Labour and the Liberal Democrats in pushing through ethnic candidates, irrespective of opposition from genuine Tories still left in its constituency parties.

A classic case is the persecution of Tory councillor Peter Hobbins, a former parliamentary candidate, who wrote a series of e-mails to fellow party members complaining that applicants for the Orpington seat sounded "foreign".

"I have been contacted by a Mr Dilon

Gumraj and a Zerha Zaidie and others who are all on the approved Conservative Parliamentary Candidates list," he wrote in one e-mail. "Not one of them has a normal English name." He added: "Why are the Candidates Department so keen on these foreign names?!! May be I should change my name to something foreign".

A name change would be too late, for Cllr Hobbins was immediately suspended from his party.

Former Conservative readers should realise that since his talk of "open door"

policies made in a recent speech to the Ethnic Media Conference in central London, in reality Cameron has slipped in a policy that fast tracks non-whites over the heads of white Tories within the party.

### MoD Staff in Helmand Paid Five Times More Than Troops



**M**inistry of Defence civil servants who work in Afghanistan are being paid more than £8,000 a month. This is almost five times as much as most British soldiers on the front line in Helmand.

This followed the disclosure that the MoD had paid £47million in bonuses last year to civilian staff and some Labour ministers tried to argue the payouts were justified in part as some officials were posted to conflict zones.

### Muslim Pupils Get Christian Teacher Sacked

**N**icholas Kafouris was sacked for reprimanding pupils who made remarks about him being a Christian. He said the predominantly Muslim youths - some only eight and nine, at the school in Tower Hamlets, openly praised Islamic extremists and hailed the September 11 terrorists as heroes and martyrs. Although he complained to the head teacher about the racist and religious discrimination incidents he was eventually sacked.

### Pupils told "All Whites are Fascists"



**P**upils at Lancashire schools are being asked to debate whether "all white people are fascists" as part of classes to combat violent extremism.

In a report by Graeme Paton in the Daily Telegraph of November 18 it says that teachers are encouraged to lead pupils as young as 11 in discussions about racist stereotypes to stop children being groomed by radical groups whether - from "far-right or faith-based".

In one exercise, children are asked to discuss why certain phrases - including "They've taken your jobs" and "They get better treatment - are used to inflame public opinion.

The Telegraph report adds that

another exercise aims to dispel common "myths and stereotypes surrounding asylum seekers. This includes views that they are a "drain on the UK economy".


Koser Mahmood, an English teacher in Lancashire, said: "Counter-acting violent extremism is an important part of the community cohesion programme."

The above is a classic example of the success of the Gramsci programme of the early sixties when the Marxists realised that as the West would never fall to a Communist revolution of the working class, education and the media must be infiltrated until only the Marxist view is held. Their success in education is now almost total.



# Union Jack Dropped From New ID Card

By John Bean



Despite some 'genteel' criticism of Labour's ID plans by the Tories while in opposition, rest assured that in power as is likely by June - they will do as they are told by the EU.

Home Secretary Alan Johnson has axed the Union flag from the new ID cards "in order to avoid upsetting groups who may not identify with the UK symbol."

Although the would-be Marxist controllers of the British people appear to have made a concession in making the ID cards 'voluntary', the long-term objective is still for the cards to be compulsory. It is already costing the taxpayers at least £5 billion, most of which is in producing the chip that will have all our details, invisible though they may be to the card holder.

Nigel Farage made it clear that UKIP supports the ID card scheme when he, rightfully, applauded the decision not to put the EU flag on the card but failed to take the opportunity to even criticise the scheme let alone condemn it, as the BNP does, as an expensive infringement of our democratic rights.

This government is still intent on bringing in ID cards for all, not matter how long it takes. Through the UK Identity and Passport Service a marketing campaign was launched in the autumn to propagandise "businesses" rather than the general public to give the impression that the ID scheme is moving forwards. Its chief, James Hall, has begun a 'charm offensive' in the licensed trade press, attempting to undermine the established proof of age schemes and talk up the inclusion of biometrics, despite the fact that pubs and shops do not have machines to read fingerprints.

In the House of Lords on 14<sup>th</sup> October Lord West for the government confirmed that from 2012 "anyone applying for a British passport would be offered the choice of being issued with a passport or an identity card, or both documents and their identity details and biometrics would be recorded on a single national identity register". Further proof that as far as Labour is concerned ID cards are still on.

Despite some 'genteel' criticism of Labour's ID plans by the Tories while in opposition, rest assured that in power as is likely by June - they will do as they are told by the EU. Since the acceptance of the Lisbon Treaty it comes clearer everyday that there is an acceleration towards an EU surveillance state. This includes the mass collection and sharing of personal data including DNA records into an EU-wide database, which can only lead to a Europe-wide ID card scheme.



# The Cost of Immigration: £13 Billion per Year

By John Bean



According to figures from the Office of National Statistics, immigrants also send home about £4 billion a year in remittances back to their home countries.

**C**riminal immigrants held in British jails cost the taxpayer £283 million per year, new figures have revealed. This means that the annual total cost of immigration to the British taxpayer is now set at just over £13 billion per year.

According to official figures revealed in Parliament, the bill for keeping foreign prisoners in British jails has been £3.4 billion since 1997. This equates to £283 million per year.

According to figures compiled earlier by Oxford Professor of Demography, David Coleman, and released by independent think tank Migrationwatch, immigration already costs the British taxpayer some £12.8 billion per year. This figure does not include the cost of housing and feeding foreign prisoners generated by the imprisonment of 11,350 foreign convicts in Britain's overcrowded jails.

Foreign prisoners have taken up 48 percent of all new jail cells built since 1999, the parliamentary figures also revealed. Some 12,549 new jail cells have been built in the last ten years, while the foreign inmate population grew by 5,962 over the same period.

When the foreign inmate cost is added to Professor Coleman's figures, the total cost per year ramps up to the £13 billion figure.

This is ten times the National Health Service Deficit and 40 percent more than the foreign aid budget.

The number of foreign criminals has

increased by over 110 percent since 1999, compared to an increase of 20 percent in UK offenders. Of the latter number, statistics show further that large numbers are from first or second generation immigrants.

According to figures from the Office of National Statistics, immigrants also send home about £4 billion a year in remittances back to their home countries. The £4 billion figure is thought to be an underestimate, as it does not include money sent from Britain by unofficial banking channels. In contrast, just £2.3 billion a year flows into the country from British ex-pats working overseas.

A House of Lords Select Committee on Economic Affairs last year found "no evidence" to show that net immigration generates significant economic benefits for the existing UK population.

The latest set of figures show even this to be somewhat of an understatement.



# Islamic Subversion of our Armed Forces

By John Bean



Saudi Arabia spends \$10 billion a year on 'Islamic Education' to influence both Western and Islamic opinion.

A senior BNP member suggested to us that we should take a look at a number of websites where it would suggest that the liberal bloggers (who shout 'racist' at every opportunity in order to stifle debate) are actually not British at all. We did as he suggested and it became clear that in some cases the expressions used indicated that the anti-BNP bloggers were not just simply Muslims in a Bradford ghetto, for they displayed old-fashioned expressions characteristic of foreigners working for some state secret service.

Worryingly, this seemed very prevalent in the discussion forum on the army website, Arrse ([www.arrse.co.uk](http://www.arrse.co.uk)).

Now before readers think we are seeing Muslim spies under every prayer mat, let us not forget that Saudi Arabia spends \$10 billion a year on 'Islamic Education' to influence both Western and Islamic opinion. Some of this is highly likely to be used for subversive manipulation of useful websites. Knowing that even our armed forces personnel who use the Arrse website have been indoctrinated since their infants school days with the world-wide brotherhood of man mindset, the foreign spy services find it simple to promote Western tolerance of Islamic intolerance.

A classic example of this promotion of tolerance of Islamic intolerance was behind the murder of 13 people at Ford Hood, Texas, by Major Nidal Malik Hasan. It was known that Hassan had attended the controversial Dar al-Hijrah

mosque in Great Falls, Virginia, in 2001 at the same time as two of the September 11 terrorists. The preacher was Anwar al-Awlaki who was banned from addressing a meeting by video link only last August because he is also accused of supporting attacks on British troops.

Hasan is known to have posted supportive comments about suicide-bombers on the internet at least six months before he went on his killing spree and these were said to have been noted by US intelligence agencies. Appearing to be wise after the event, the US Security Agency said that they had decided to monitor him hoping it would lead to bigger fish, including al-Qaeda operatives. A more likely reason was that given by one Army doctor who knew Hasan well and said that a fear of appearing discriminatory against a Muslim soldier had stopped fellow officers from filing formal complaints about his 'anti-American' rants.

Now this, as indicated above, is just what is happening in the British Army with certain Islamic fundamentalists who have wormed their way into positions of influence, even if only minor at present. One final comment on America's growing problems with Islamic fundamentalists. What was the reason why the Washington sniper, John Allen Muhammad, a black convert to Islam went on his killing spree in 2002? His victims were black as well as white - but all non-Muslims and he went to his execution without explaining the reason.



# Mass Immigration Was Planned

By John Bean



Blair would have sanctioned all this, particularly as a man of no political convictions whatsoever but an overwhelming desire for power and wealth - as a high percentage of immigrants would be ever grateful to Labour, who could count on their vote long-term.

In late October the former Labour adviser Andrew Leather revealed that in 2001 the Labour Government purposely opened up Britain's borders as a "driving political purpose". That purpose was that mass immigration was the way the Government was going to make Britain "truly multicultural". At the same time it was thought that this would also humiliate 'Right-wing' opponents of immigration.

His allegations referred to a 2001 report from the Performance and Innovation Unit, a think-tank based in the Cabinet Office

Responding to this, Sir Andrew Green, chairman of Migrationwatch, said: "Now it has been revealed that mass immigration under this government was a deliberate policy concealed from the public, and especially from the white working class whose lives and neighbourhoods have been most affected. Now immigration will add another seven million to our population over the next 25 years unless really serious measures are taken to cut immigration by at least 75 per cent."

From the date the report was published the number of immigrants surged, with the then high of 370,000 by the end of that year. That rose to 416,000 the following year and by 2006 had reached 510,000 a year.

Prime Minister Tony Blair would have sanctioned all this, particularly as a man of no political convictions whatsoever but an overwhelming desire

for power and wealth - as a high percentage of immigrants would be ever grateful to Labour, who could count on their vote long-term.

In the same year, 2001, Blair saw that important parts of a Home Office report linking immigration and rising crime were deliberately censored in order to hide the truth from the public. Titled "Migration, A Social and Economic Analysis", the report was submitted to the Prime Minister's office prior to being released. Terrified of its contents, because it would have enabled the public to see that the whole concept of the multicultural dream had developed into a nightmare, Blair had it emasculated.

Out came the sections which specifically linked organised criminality with high immigration. This included the section headed "Criminal behaviour" which said, among other things, that "Migration has opened up new opportunities for organised crime." The report went on to say that foreign nationals formed a higher proportion of the prison population than of the general population because so many were caught smuggling drugs at ports and airports. Now that our people can see that the damage done by the multicultural farce was all arranged, and every day revealing that more and more old gang MPs of all parties are outright crooks, how can anybody who is not cerebrally challenged still vote for that triumvirate of evil, the Lib-Lab-Con. This year they can vote BNP!



# Who Briefs the EU Non-Entity Rulers?

By John Bean



According to a Sunday Telegraph report of 22.11.09, Van Rompuy will put his weight behind controversial proposals already put by Barroso for a 'Euro tax'.

From the derision that greeted the appointment of the EU's first president and its foreign minister one could be mistaken for believing that it was Rumplesilkskin and Esmeralda. However, Herman Van Rompuy, the former Belgian Prime Minister, and Catherine Ashton, the unelected Labour Peer, are not the complete non-entities that they first appeared to be.

Van Rompuy was chosen as Belgian PM because of his ability to hold the Flemish people and the French-speaking Walloons together. He is also known as a skilled and ruthless operator who is happy to play rough as well as smooth.

We must not overlook the influence of Jose Manuel Barroso, who is still the head of the European Commission. Although also re-elected to the powerful post of EU Human Rights Commissioner, it is ironic that he was a member of the Portuguese Communist Party as late as 1980 and in his college days was a member of the Maoist MRPP party.

According to a Sunday Telegraph report of 22.11.09, Van Rompuy will put his weight behind controversial proposals already put by Barroso for a 'Euro tax'. "It would produce a stream of income direct to Brussels, funding budgets that critics say are already rife with waste and overspending".

Most interestingly, the Telegraph report said that Van Rompuy set out his stall on direct Euro taxes during a private speech at a recent meeting of the secret

Bilderberg group of top politicians, bankers and businessmen (see the article in this issue on The Grey Executive's Club). It is therefore no surprise that Gordon Brown, who has attended Bilderberg meetings, floated the same idea a few weeks earlier as a way of financing bail-outs of the banking system on a global basis.

What of the Labour hack, Catherine Ashton, who as the EU's Foreign Affairs Minister is now responsible for tackling the world's key issues and dealing with its leaders? To paraphrase a news comment on the BNP website, she has absolutely zero experience, no qualifications in foreign affairs, has a record of extremist leftist and anti-white political activism and is therefore the EU's ideal person to represent us all over the world.

Ms Ashton was another unelectable comrade who was parachuted into the House of Lords so that she could become a Labour minister. Never once actually working a regular 9 to 5 job, she has now hit the EU jackpot.

Following a degree in sociology, her first 'job' was an administrator at the anarchic Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament. After drifting on to assorted left-wing, anti-White causes and becoming an ardent proponent of "Black and Minority Ethnic" affirmative action at the expense of the white population, she was given her reward as a Labour 'peer', eventually taking over Mandelson's former post as EU Trade Commissioner.



# The Global Warming Scam

By John Bean



...thousands of e-mails taken from computers at the University of East Anglia indicated that data had been manipulated to strengthen the case of man-made global warming.

**T**he BNP, including this magazine, was in the forefront of warning of the danger of peak oil and the increasing global demands on other natural resources brought about by an ever increasing world population. For this reason we showed some caution in criticising the doomsayers of global warming who started by rightly warning us of the additional carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) entering the atmosphere primarily by the combustion of hydrocarbons and deforestation.

There were always two sides to the global warming debate, and mankind has faced its effects as it came and went many times since he came down from the trees. Then, as now, it was caused by varying solar activity, not because two cavemen learned how to rub sticks together and create fire. But since the issue has been taken over by the UN sponsored Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the issue has been taken over completely as a tool to aid the drive for one-world government and for nation states, and now the EU, to use it as a new source of taxation. Gordon Brown has already allocated £18 billion of our taxes to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> levels!

Yet until very recently the West (but not those leading CO<sub>2</sub> producers China and India) had regarded anyone who denied that global warming was completely down to the activities of man was committing a crime on a par with Holocaust denial. But now strong opposition is rising.

It is still commonly believed that the IPCC consists of "1,500 of the world's top climate scientists". The vast majority of its contributors have never been climate scientists and many are not scientists at all. Ignoring the more reliable evidence from ice cores taken from the Greenland and Antarctic ice shields, the IPCC based its evidence on computer models which were based on selected data to give the result they were hoping for!

The fight back in this country has until recently been primarily through the work of Christopher Booker in the Sunday Telegraph his book "The Real Global Warming Disaster" has recently been published by Continuum. Opposition has been further boosted by the revelation that thousands of e-mails taken from computers at the University of East Anglia indicated that data had been manipulated to strengthen the case of man-made global warming. It also suggested that academics on both sides of the Atlantic discussed deleting sensitive e-mails to evade Freedom of Information requests from climate change sceptics.

This is additional to the facts that the world has seen its two coldest winters for decades. The ice caps are not melting as the alarmists predicted. The Antarctic, containing nearly 90 per cent of all the ice in the world, has actually been cooling over the past 30 years, not warming. And the polar bears are not drowning - there are four times more of them now than there were 40 years ago.





A VIEW FROM AMERICA

# Thoughts From America

The first report in what will be a regular series by John Humphrey. Born in England, he has lived in the USA for most of his life.



There are milestones that have shaped the history of Britain; The Magna Carta, The Reformation, The Industrial Revolution, The Expansion of Empire and The Battle of Britain. For hundreds of years Britain's dominance as a major maritime force ensured its security and world power. Less than 100 years ago, the British Empire covered more than 60% of the land mass of the Earth and established English as the preferred language in many countries.

The English speaking peoples; England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland, Canada, The United States, Australia, New Zealand and many parts of the British Commonwealth have laws and judiciaries based entirely on British Common Law which has stood the test of time over many centuries. The British have a reputation for fairness, observance of the rule of law and defending freedom and liberty. The heroic Britons who died in two world wars are a genuine symbol of the British desire to combat evil forces wherever they may be and at whatever the cost.

In the arts, exploration, leadership and sciences, Britain has had its natural talent recognized as; Newton, Dickens, Faraday, Drake, Shakespeare, Tennyson, Cook, Raleigh, Stevenson, Watt, Carrol, Churchill, Austen, Hardy, Darwin, Nelson, Wellington, Hawkins, The Beatles, Montgomery, Barnes-Wallis, Cromwell and Livingston to name a few.

The British have been able to survive the millennia against invasion and the hand of war by their inventiveness, innovation and political will against all enemies, foreign and domestic with courage, fortitude and honour. The bravery of its armed forces, sometimes in the face of seemingly insurmountable odds, is a testament to a proud and resolute people who have stood the test

of time. Being "British" invokes a sense of pride of country for those who follow.

But now Britain is faced with a great peril, one that threatens the survival of its indigenous people, the truth is self evident; the forces of fundamentalist Islam act as if they are determined to exterminate the British race. There are political forces in Britain enabled by a biased media who are deliberately hiding the facts from the British People and who systematically attack and marginalize those who tell the truth about how the serious situation in Britain has inextricably evolved.

The BNP visionaries do not dwell on past glories but seek a better way forward for a people that cry out for justice and liberty in a land that is the very foundation of democracy and freedom.

Yet a modern miracle and political revolution is at hand of historical proportions. The indigenous British people's wishes and aspirations will not be denied as they recognize that their very existence as a race is in the balance. How it all got to this sad state of affairs is now irrelevant. The BNP visionaries do not dwell on past glories but seek a better way forward for a people that cry out for justice and liberty in a land that is the very foundation of democracy and freedom. "Let it be, let it be. Yeah, There will be an answer, let it be".

#### A COLLECTIVE WILL

There is a theme that runs through the many pages of comments that are shown on a daily basis on the BNP web site. In a world with ever increasing restrictions on

freedom of speech there is emerging a *Force Majeure that rallies the indigenous British people to a cause and purpose that will decide their ultimate fate.* It can be summarised in one word: Identity. The British people are concerned about unchecked immigration, Islamic extremism and economic collapse but, inextricably, their identity as a race is being compromised and so this is their prime concern. Years of insidious psychological and politically correct repression have awakened a sleeping giant.

The British people have cause to be concerned because if current trends of unchecked immigration and anti-white discrimination continue, they will be a minority in their homeland within 50 years. It is one thing to become a minority but quite another to have British Law replaced or compromised by an insidious Islamic law that demands total subservience and is a doctrine that is devoid of all values of human liberty and freedom.

#### APPEASEMENT PROCESS

There is an undeniable appeasement process ongoing in Britain where apathy and ignorance prevail. Britons have witnessed this type of surrender before.

Churchill said: "There is no greater mistake than to suppose that platitudes, smooth words, and timid policies offer a path to safety. An appeaser is one who feeds the crocodile hoping it will eat him last. Victory will never be found by taking the line of least resistance."

The British are a unique race of people and have fought many battles to protect their ethnic identity and green and pleasant lands. Britain was the very seat of democracy and common law and served as a model for those who are less fortunate to enjoy liberty and freedom.



Now they have to fight a new battle that threatens them from within. They have been invaded not by military aggressors but by a culture of uncontrolled Islamic immigration - that because of its religious beliefs - will exterminate the British way of life, religion and identity. They are not protected and defended by an elected government but rather they are sacrificed by a left wing ideology of political correctness and a multicultural program of ethnic blending.

### ISLAMIC INVASION

Islam is about to accomplish what Hitler's Germany could not, an annexation of the British Isles without a shot being fired. No longer do they have government of, for and by the people but an indifferent collection of socialists aided by an autocratic and corrupt monstrosity called the European Union who has sold the British people out. British laws are becoming redundant, their economy is faltering and their lands are crime ridden and saturated with people who do not share their values and seek to eliminate them. The British press is encouraging this process and is no longer the people's voice but rather a collection of daily left wing chatter and appeasement that serves no one.


Against this threat of extermination, there is a silver lining and it is represented by the combination of the deep seated desire of the indigenous British people to protect their identity and a political party who can facilitate those aspirations. The British National Party has long understood that a silent majority of Briton's views are no longer valued in parliament and that the status quo is unacceptable. There is a recognition by the BNP that Britain's identity and values has been preserved with the blood of heroes and patriots and

that this sacrifice should not have been in vain.


Lincoln's words at Gettysburg are applicable to Britain today: "Now we are engaged in a great civil war, testing whether this nation, or any nation, so conceived and so dedicated, can long endure. We are met on a great battle-field of that war. We have come to dedicate a portion of that field, as a final resting place for those who here gave their lives that that nation might live. It is altogether fitting and proper that we should do this.

"... It is for us the living, rather, to be

dedicated here to the unfinished work which they who fought here have thus far so nobly advanced. It is rather for us to be here dedicated to the great task remaining before us that from these honored dead we take increased devotion to that cause for which they gave the last full measure of devotion that we here highly resolve that these dead shall not have died in vain that this nation, under God, shall have a new birth of freedom and that government: of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth".







# Soldier's Mother Banned by Post Office

Wales BNP reports on a Cardiff case of racial/religious bigotry

**M**rs Davies' 21-year-old son is a soldier in a Welsh Regiment which recently began an eight month tour of duty in Afghanistan. For many Mothers with sons on active service for their country it can be a constant worry until they return safe, and whilst TV news of 'another soldier has been killed yesterday' may cause many of us to mourn their loss and curse dishonest Labour politicians, for a Mother it can be a heart-stopping moment. It is of great significance then, to be able to go to her local post office to send her son a parcel from home.

Except that for some people now living in this country, such as Mr Khan, the owner of Mrs Davies' local post office in Wilson Road, Ely, Cardiff, they clearly don't share the same values or principles as the 'original' British.

When Mr. Khan asked Mrs. Davies where her 'boy' was and found out that he was serving in Afghanistan, something he clearly didn't approve of, he banned her from his shop and post office and instructed his staff to refuse to serve her. This public humiliation in his shop took place in front of witnesses and left Mrs.

Davies astonished, frustrated and upset. Even a neighbour who later offered to post her parcel was refused.

In today's multi-cultural, politically correct society, Mrs. Davies didn't know where to turn. The post office and the shop at the end of the road is a vitally important service to the local community and she was bewildered at being made to feel an outcast in her own country by an immigrant who was in a position of having the power to do so.

It was suggested to her 'off the record' to contact the BNP as being the only people to offer her support. We won't tell you just who suggested this as you'd be surprised and it would cause quite a problem where he works, but Mrs. Davies subsequently contacted South Wales BNP with the story of her situation.

We tried to contact Mr. Khan to ask for a statement by telephoning him at his post office premises on 02920 591 511, but apparently he is away in India at the moment. We spoke with his manageress though, a June Thomas who is carrying out his instructions in the meantime, but she just said, 'No comment'.

We don't know why Mr. Khan should

feel he has any authority at all to dictate to British mothers in this country that they are not allowed to post parcels to British soldiers serving in Afghanistan. Maybe you should ask him for yourself.

It's one thing that in Afghanistan the enemy include Taliban fighters with Yorkshire and Midlands accents, even perhaps with the odd Aston Villa tattoo. It's another thing too, when wounded British casualties arrive back at Selly Oak NHS Hospital (because the military hospitals have been closed down) to be verbally abused by similar people to those that wounded them in the first place. Or when the same type of people blowing our troops to bits with roadside bombs in Afghanistan, can be found at home fast-tracked into positions of authority and power, such as magistrates, politicians, police, teachers and even sub-postmasters.

Large tracts of inner-city suburbs and elsewhere have become foreign, alien communities demanding ever more political influence and power within British society.

What on earth have we allowed our country and ourselves to become?




JS of Essex disputes the claim that the British are a 'mongrel nation' with wave upon wave of immigrants arriving at our shores over thousands of years changing who we truly are beyond recognition.

## The Falsity of a 'Mongrel Nation'

**T**he consequences of being a mongrel nation are that we lose our right to be called a race and even to have a distinct cultural heritage. Those who accuse the British of being mongrels claim that everything is borrowed from somewhere else, nothing is authentic and we are just a fusion of different races all trying to take advantage of this green and pleasant land. But is this correct?

Family history is a growing hobby and scientific advances have inevitably led to the steadily growing sphere of genetic genealogy. The principle is quite simple whereby part of the DNA of each man (on the Y chromosome) is passed down to his son almost completely unchanged. If this part of your DNA matches another man's DNA then chances are you share a common ancestor on your paternal line.

Whilst being a very powerful tool in genealogy, it quickly became apparent that large groups of people share similar genealogical DNA with only minor differences. They call these similar groupings of genealogical DNA 'haplogroups'. People belonging to a





72.5% and 82% respectively. Combined, the average for Great Britain is 73.8%.

That means that nearly three quarters of us in Great Britain have a common ancient ancestry. This haplogroup is the same one as the Celtic tribes that existed in Britain during the time of the Roman occupation made famous by the likes of Boudicca and, later, the legendary King Arthur.

R1b men are also found in very high frequencies in Spain (70%), France (61%) and Belgium (60%). The further away from Britain, the smaller the frequency of R1b men found.

This is consistent with the belief that our ancient ancestors crossed over the North Sea from mainland Europe during the last ice age and stayed ever since. After the last ice age the English Channel was restored separating us once again from mainland Europe. The people of Britain would have been almost exclusively of the R1b haplogroup whilst this haplogroup diminished across Europe as immigration occurred. Only by being isolated on an island for so long can a nation have such a high frequency of a single haplogroup.

### UNTOUCHED

This is somewhat at odds with the belief that we have been 'cross-bred' with other nations. No other nation in Europe has such a high concentration of one particular haplogroup meaning that we have remained relatively untouched over thousands of years. In fact, several countries near to Turkey have no majority haplogroup at all.

Apart from the Anglo-Saxon invasion, it seems that the four main invasions that Britain experienced have had relatively little impact.

The Anglo-Saxon and Viking invasion account for almost 20% of the

haplogroups in Britain. The haplogroups associated with the 'Anglo-Saxons' are common with people stretching from Germany to Scandinavia. When the Anglo-Saxons came to Britain after the end of the Roman occupation, they came with the intention of settling and rearing families on a mass scale. However, this never had such an overwhelming affect on the ancient Britons as many would have us think. It is often believed that the indigenous Celtic tribes were pushed towards the traditionally Celtic areas of Wales, Cornwall and Scotland but the frequency of the R1b haplogroup in England proves that this was not necessarily the case. It certainly seems that the Anglo-Saxons did settle and actually co-existed with the Celtic tribes bringing not much more than changes in language and custom of which many were British adaptations designed to fit into the indigenous people's lives smoothly - a very 'British' characteristic!

The other two major invasions were the Roman and Norman conquests (the Normans in any case were predominantly descendants of the Vikings who settled in 'Normandy' in the late ninth century - *Editor*). Historians believe they had very little immigration associated with them as these people generally came to rule over the indigenous population and mainly seemed to breed within their own class. Again, language and custom were the only real changes.

At the moment, Britain is found to be a unique nation within Europe with its own majority indigenous people which far outweighs any other Nation in Europe. Hopefully the false claims that Britain is a 'mongrel nation' will disappear as new scientific methods prove them wrong. We may have been ruled by mongrels in the past but the true people of Britain remain.

particular haplogroup have common ancestry and in many cases can trace this link back as far as the last ice age. This type of research has often been used to determine the origins and routes of migrants who settled into each country.

According to the Eupedia website, 67% of all men in England who had this test done are of the same haplogroup -

R1b. The results are higher for Scotland and Wales with



# Black Support for the BNP

An Open Letter to Mr Nick Griffin, Chairman of the British National Party from Paul TWİNØMÜCÜNGÜZİ.

Insofar as your party's stated intention to *halt* all immigration from the non-European nations of the Southern hemisphere and encourage the voluntary *repatriation* of all such persons presently residing in the United Kingdom would have the effect, intended or otherwise, of stemming, and then reversing, the haemorrhagic *brain drain* that has seen millions of the South's brightest minds abandon their benighted home countries for what they imagined to be lives of comparative prosperity in the European North;

And that such tsunamic migration from the South to the North has long

fuelled the catastrophic *widening* of the scientific and technological chasm that separates the two hemispheres, the apocalyptic atrophy of health services in the majority of African nations (most of which can no longer meet the World Health Organisation's minimum standard of 20 physicians per 100,000 people), the astronomic expenditures on foreign expatriates to fill the skills gaps left behind by the South's fleeing émigrés, the disastrous shrinkage of the technocratic classes in the émigré states and the consequent crippling of their economic growth;

And to the extent that the repatriation

of Britain's Southern immigrants would deliver back to their struggling home countries millions of western-educated, expatriate-grade professionals in disciplines as diverse as software engineering, nuclear energy, agricultural science, veterinary medicine, neurosurgery, urban planning, corporate management, biotechnology, jurisprudence and other key specialities on a scale that would represent the single largest *intercontinental transfer of expertise* in history and in a manner that would ignite an economic and societal renaissance in the impoverished lands to which they returned;







And that their speedy resettlement and effective employment in their home nations and the subsequent exponential growth rates that would ripple across the South would help to narrow the inter-hemispheric divide, loosen the asphyxiating chokehold the North has long maintained on the planetary jugular and help to realise a far more equitable dispensation in the relations between the two regions than could have ever been achieved through all of the politically-correct social engineering of Western liberal orthodoxy;

And that the enduring presence of so vast a pool of skilled Southern talents lavishing their scarce resources on the institutions of the North has long

rendered them *criminally complicit* in perpetuating the very Northern hegemony in global affairs which those self-same immigrants are often loudest (and most hypocritical) in denouncing;

And that any resettlement package which your party has pledged to grant to the consenting returnees were to combine a *cash lump sum* equivalent to their lifetime tax and national insurance contributions to the British state along with a government *buy-back* of any immigrant-owned properties at their current market value together with an offer to reward any Southern nation agreeing to resettle a significant proportion of its returnees with a *cancellation* of that country's entire

national debt to the United Kingdom, thus shifting the moral responsibility for the South's enduring plight from the shoulders of supposedly villainous Western bankers and onto those of the very immigrant communities whose refusal to return home would render them criminally culpable for what amounted to an act of *sabotage* against their own countries of origin and thus identify them as *traitors* of the worst sort;

And that so iconoclastic a formula for eliminating Third World debt would constitute a far more effective and lasting means of liberating the South's poor from its iron grip than could ever be realised through all of the facile "Trade Not Aid/Make Poverty History/Save Darfur" sloganeering of Western liberals and their Southern stooges;

And that your party is pledged to grant Britain's judges the option of imposing either **corporal** or **capital** punishment for violent crimes the most insidious of which are the inner-city knife- and gun-related atrocities the majority of whose *perpetrators* derive from immigrant communities as do the vast preponderance of their *victims* and who would thus be the principal *beneficiaries* of so tectonic a shift in the administration of British criminal justice;

And that your party's determination to awaken Britain's manufacturing sector, dismantle its monopolies and generate green jobs would help to banish an economic and environmental dispensation that has seen successive Labour and Tory regimes shamelessly car-boot this nation's silver to the lowest bidder and reduce this once "green and pleasant land" to a smoke-belching, toxic waste dump;

And that your party's studious adherence to parliamentary propriety stands in stirring contrast to the tax-dodging, expense-claiming and second-





home-having scoundrels who festoon Westminster's backbenches and whose sickening masquerade as "servants of the people" reeks to the high heavens and renders each one of them worthy of the hangman's noose;

And that your party's foreign and defence policy of *Armed Neutrality* would see British forces withdrawn from global conflict theatres in which the country has no vital national interest and the United Kingdom extricated from a trans-Atlantic alliance that has seen successive British prime ministers slavishly abase this great nation to the status of not so much a poodle as a veritable *chihuahua* on an American leash and in a fashion that has needlessly tarnished her good name; and that, furthermore, such disengagement from these wholly unnecessary "wars of choice" would go a far longer way in lessening the antagonism between the West and the Muslim world and thus averting a cataclysmic "clash of civilisations" between the two than could ever be realised through all of the plaintive and pusillanimous pro-Muhammadan platitudes proffered by the new American president;

It becomes increasingly plain that the oft-heard refrain that yours is nothing but a party of 'bigots' with little to offer the British people and whose accession to s

power would constitute a calamity for "community relations" - when the foregoing has amply demonstrated that the net result of your policies would be to transform such interactions more profoundly and *positively* than could ever be realised through all of the "beer summits" in all of the Rose Gardens of this world - has to rank as one of the most serpentine of slanders to have ever been circulated and that, as a proud British citizen of African origin, it is my solemn pledge to cast my ballot for the British National Party at the next general election as and when the current illegal (because *unelected*) occupant of No.10 finally summons up the courage to hold one.

For, having duly notified the British public that he has no intention of going to the country until he has quite finished taking his own sweet time to "rescue" our economy from the recession into which it was plunged by his own party's ruinous policies - notwithstanding the fact that over *thirty* national elections have been held around the world since the onset of the global economic crisis in the autumn of 2008 and whose outcomes, as in the case of Iran, he has had the barefaced gall to *criticise* or whose successful conduct, as in the case of Afghanistan, he has seen fit to sacrifice British lives to

secure ~ the peoples of this great nation should bluntly serve him notice that, should he fail to announce an immediate plebiscite, the British National Party will lead a Million Man March on Downing Street to unseat him from power ~ by any means necessary.

For, like the ring-bearing hobbits of Tolkien's codex, Britain's voters now hold the fate of the entire world in their hands. And by casting their ballots for the BNP they could very well trigger a butterfly effect across Europe that would see France's *Front National* take the Élysée Palace, Hungary's *Jobbik Magyarországért Mozgalom* seize Budapest and the Netherlands' *Partij voor de Vrijheid* capture the Binnenhof, thus setting in motion a planetary contagion that would sweep the globe and transform it into the paradise for which its inhabitants have long yearned. For, after all, if the African-American son of a Kenyan goat-herder better known as "Barack Hussein Obama" could rise to the presidency of the United States of America, who could seriously doubt that the Cambridge-schooled progeny of an English conservative councillor going by the name of "Nicholas John Griffin" of the British National Party could just as easily become the next Prime Minister of this United Kingdom?



# Tough Time for Mothers

Fleur White says that the liberal elite purposely lower the expectations British women have of our society.

Europe is "dying" because its citizens are too selfish to have children and "consumerism and instant gratification" are to blame according to the Chief Rabbi, Lord Sacks of Aldgate, as reported by the Guardian on Thursday, 5<sup>th</sup> of November.

More specifically, quoting the Loreal ladies hair product marketing strap line: "Parenthood involves massive sacrifice: money, attention, time and emotional energy. Where today, in European culture... because you're worth it."

The stereotype of selfish, arrogant and affluent, European, or even European looking, young women is often manipulated by the liberal elite and the media to their own end, particularly to lower the expectations women have of 'society' (which Margaret Thatcher famously said "didn't exist") and Lord Aldgate is only following where others have dared to tread before.

"Half of all mothers go to work to keep up with the Joneses" read Becky Barrow's headline in the Daily Mail in May 2007. "One mother in two is going out to work to maintain an "acceptable"

standard of living", according to a Scottish Widows report she said. "For some it would mean a car, a nice house with a garden for the children to play in and one foreign holiday each year. For others, it will mean a more luxurious lifestyle with several foreign holidays and private education for the children." Wanting what we think is best for our children and just how much of 'the best' is good for them is hardly comparable to 'keeping up with the Joneses' now is it?

Labour launched an offensive on women and the family in 2003. With the backing of four unnamed government ministers, who are probably still in their ministerial positions, over a hundred years after the enlightenment and Sarah Emily Davies campaign for a woman's right to higher education, the so-called feminist who once questioned whether or not men could be trusted with children - Patricia Hewitt, oversaw the production of a seventy six page document by her Women and Equality unit when she was Trade Secretary, which stated that it was a real problem that the employment rates for women with dependent children have

remained consistently below those of women without dependent children. "Just 48 per cent of women with a child under two are in employment, compared to 90 per cent of men with a child under two" it said. And it suggested that mothers who stay at home are failing to pay the state back for the cost of their time at school or university.

Mothers going to work meant 'maximising returns on public and private investment in education and training'. The Institute of Directors called it 'Orwellian' and 'bullying' according to Steve Doughty, also of the Daily Mail.

Gordon Brown deregulated the banks under Tony Blair's premiership which paved the way for our housing crisis. The rapid colonisation of many of our major cities has put immense pressure on council housing. This has contributed to the false inflation of the price of property in the suburbs. Banks have been known to lend at 6.4 times joint salary and the "money, attention, time and emotional energy" that Lord Sacks of Aldgate demands of British mothers is thus in short supply.



# Support British Culture & Maintain Our Traditions

Diane Brit, who is the BNP's East Anglia sub regional organiser, looks at the effects of multi-national business on the destruction of our countryside and traditional way of life

**A**s a child, growing up in the countryside, I remember roaming across fields and climbing trees. When did you last see children in fields and trees, all the things I took for granted? How long before the question will be: "When did you last see a field? A tree? A farm?" My memories of childhood are being destroyed by multi-national businesses, with the destruction of our environment. Somehow, running through building sites and climbing on garages just does not have the same ring. Is this yet another way in which we are allowing the establishment to destroy childhoods?

The growth of the supermarket culture is destroying British farming. How can local business compete with the import of cheaper alternatives, and the seemingly endless special offers - the cost of which is covered by the farm/factory and not as we are led to believe by the supermarket? Do we know how many "British" goods are truly British, and how many have just part of their production connected to this country?

While the new "greedy" world in which we now live of "BOGOF" and cheap imports does on one hand seem appealing to us all, do we give enough thought to the real cost of it all? Do we

consider the fact that this is yet another part of the conspiracy towards globalisation and the ultimate demise of our culture and traditions? What has happened to the farm shops? Where have all the traditional farmers-markets gone? How much of the produce in the local market is local produce?

Don't get me wrong, I do understand the need in this financial climate to look for the best bargains. However, do we really need to follow the crowd going for quantity rather than quality? Would we really suffer if rather than large portions of cut price food (fuelling the obesity problem) we actually cook (yes I said I







cook not re-heat ready meals) smaller portions of good quality, fresher, full of goodness local produce. The lower mileage travelled not only preserves quality, but also helps the environment. All this with the only "preservatives" mentioned being that of the preservation of our country.

### SWEATSHOP CLOTHING

I'm not just talking about our change in the way we eat. This new way of living is changing the whole approach to the retail market in this country.

Should we be following the latest fashion of buying cheap, disposable clothes, made by child labour, in sweatshops, in third-world countries? For a bit extra, and I'm not talking "designer", we can buy good quality, British items that are made to last. This new throw away society is false economy.

Buy British, make British, design

British and employ British. Shorten the unemployment queues and lower taxes. It is time to make a stand for our country!

It is time that schools returned to the teaching of basic skills. Have we totally forgotten the arts of cooking, sewing and knitting? Do many of today's children realise that clothing can be mended and food does not start its life boxed?

What of the real practical value of DIY. How easy it is to visit a large, internationally owned warehouse when a kitchen appliance breaks down. Is it really a better way of life to simply throw away the old one and buy new? I know these days many such items are no longer built to be repaired, but do we really try hard enough? Or are we simply being brainwashed into buying new ones?

When we do have electrical equipment mended, or in fact need any tradesman, do we really consider who we call in? How often do we arrange for work to be done by a name we find in the

yellow pages, only to discover that we could have employed a fellow member/supporter? Or we could at least try to find a local, independent tradesman. Can we not consider building and using a nationalist network? I mean the principle of "you scratch my back". When possible let us not encourage big business, employing cheap labour. If you listen to word of mouth these independents are often cheaper, more reliable and more experienced workers. I know they often cannot give credit, or the option of card payment, but, in the long run, this will upset the banks as much as you! These banks encourage the throw away society, because this in turn forces us all to buy on credit, thus funding the banks, bonuses and the global economy. Is saving up such a bad thing?

Maybe it is time we not only spoke to the older generation, but also listened to them. Many would be only too willing; I am sure, to teach us how to return to a less wasteful society, if only we are prepared to learn. Returning to the days of making things last and the old ways of make-do-and-mend may sound like a backward step, but is it really? Do our children even appreciate the things we buy them?

Christmas and birthdays no longer hold the magic they used to. How can they be special, when the treats of days gone by are now virtually every day occurrences? Is not buying the latest fashions for teenagers, seemingly on a near daily basis as they expect, playing into the hands of the politically correct brigade who seem intent on giving family power to the child, rather than the parents. Does the word "no" really hurt? Do we want to breed a generation that believes that they get what they want and that "discipline" is a concept from the past? Do we really want to encourage the theft of childhood?



# Nationalism - Preconceptions & Misconceptions

Karren Otty looks at the connection of Nationalism and the concept of Civil Religion

I was sorting through my university and school collection with a view to shedding some of the stuff I'd never use again, when I came across a book entitled *Religion in today's World*. Curious I read the cover. Primarily a collection of essays dealing with each religion in its contemporary situation (This was published in 1987 so it's not that contemporary in religious terms!). However, it did promise to take a look at religion and Nationalism. Intrigued I thumbed through the contents and discovered the only essay that came close to a 'discussion' of Nationalism, an essay with the rather disturbing title *Cults and Civil Religion* (Phillip Hammond).

My first response was scepticism. Had the author Hammond linked modern 'cults' and 'civil religion', or in real terms Nationalism because in liberal academia both had negative connotations. Certainly for me the word 'cult' conjures up images of brainwashing and indoctrination.

I figured liberal academia would have us believe that Nationalism isn't much better! Making a cursive flick through the essay I believed the author had linked the material on the basic concept of 'belonging'. It was clear that I had my own preconceptions and I later went on to read the essay properly.

Hammond expands on his overview of cults and sects by addressing the need

for identity formation in teenagers.

Hammond's other relevant generalisation deals with the notion of 'believers'. His concept here is not with the belief in itself but the idea of the involvement with others of the same belief. A group of committed persons that Hammond calls 'community'.

## BELONGING

Undeniably there is a fundamental thread running through Hammond's essay based around the notion of 'belonging'. This will be considered in due course as it impacts on his perspective of so-called 'civil religion'. At this point Hammond turned to what he terms 'Civil Religion'. From the onset it is unclear what Hammond's view of 'civil religion' actually is and because he fails to take a stand there is a discontinuity to the discussion.

He invokes Rousseau, "Civil religion is a sensible thing for leaders to create and encourage" a concise description of a socially constructed institution. Then points out that Durkheim and his followers consider 'civil religion' to be "inevitable". It is difficult to say whether Durkheim's notion of an 'emergent property' can be considered innate. However, for these purposes I would suggest that it is close enough.

In *Elemental Forms of Religious Life*

Durkheim does express the eternal quality of religion, which transcends the symbolic. Therefore I do not have a problem with identifying this transcendence with a Nationalistic element. Though he does not come out and say it, Hammond's use of the word 'civil religion' in preference to Nationalism and his focus on 'institutions' of 'society', provide evidence to suggest that he favours Rousseau. 'Civil Religion' is a constructed convention.

Whilst Hammond continues his essay by discussing to some extent the nature of material on 'civil religion', the real issue of the essay is the 'relationship' between the 'cult' and 'civil religion'. Hammond assesses that historically people were not aware of 'religion'. It was a way of life. It was not until the world became more 'global'-mass communication etc-that other religions became accessible. At this time Hammond asserts that people became aware of their religion and as such were able to change it if they want to.

This is where Hammond really begins to examine Nationalism. He still used the words 'civil religion', but in truth in the realms he is talking about 'civil' hasn't been invented yet! We are in Durkheim's eternity. Hammond supposes that the "citizen, surrounded by an ideology...not really 'known' he holds to a religion in







which his 'Nation' or his 'people' or his 'group' are the decisive agent". He seems to be suggesting that the 'citizen' is 'oblivious' to his membership of a tribe, country, or nation. His lack of a stance makes it hard to say whether he is just speculating. However that a person is 'oblivious' to their 'home' in whatever form is a highly unlikely notion.

Seemingly stuck in the present, Hammond cannot envision the transcendent element that functions within a group tied together by bonds much stronger than those of the 'civilian'. This is the point that Hammond has missed completely. The notion of 'belonging' is solid. The perspective is entirely flawed.

The best example I can think of lies in theology. This is not an in-depth analysis, but in general theologies were the domain of the church and later the academic arena. Essentially a theology would form in the church or university and if it left this arena at all filter down to the people. This is why the advent of Liberation Theologies was so groundbreaking. Growing up throughout Latin America it was a consolidation or movement of this Nation's poor to take ownership of their situation and through this attempt to right it.

This was started via community churches on a small scale, Priests who were appalled by the levels of suffering in their neighbourhoods. This began at a grassroots level with people, families and communities. Initially the Roman Catholic Church did not like this liberation theology - it was not sanctioned and priests involved had to be careful. Yet it has flourished, bringing to light the plight of Third World Poverty. This now is not helpful to the West but the initial concept of liberation theologies was self-help and not some Marxist venture to bleed the West for cash!



The point I want to make is that Liberation Theology began as a 'bottom up' movement. It came from the people and extended upwards until it reached those with money and power. Granted much connected to this are completely out of control but the point I think is clear.

## GRASS ROOTS NATIONALISM

Hence I believe Hammond is looking at Nationalism or in his words 'civil religion' as an end product. Nationalism clearly is not 'out of control' as in the case of movements that developed out of liberation theology. However, it is not a 'top down' phenomena or 'inflicted' from above as he might like us to believe. Again it is perspective. I'm not really sure

that Nationalism has a beginning. It is a grassroots phenomena but it does not start out as Nationalism - this is initially too wide.

Begin from a very small starting point with a family extending outwards with cousins, aunts, uncles and grandparents, communities are created through marriage etc. Shared history, traditions and land serve to tie a people together. There is definitely a sense of 'belonging' but here it is 'born into' and 'grown with'. A child is not a 'blank slate' as Marxists would believe, but arrives into an already formed 'web' of community, history and traditions.

They are of a people and land and the people and land are a part of them. As villages grow they become towns. Towns become cities, cities countries and





countries Nations. As the communities expand it becomes necessary to put in place the 'civil' institutions. Under Nationalist Government such 'civil' institutions would serve the Nation's people. It is this that Hammond fails to grasp.

This attitude towards Nationalism caused what I believe to be one of its main areas of opposition. Viewing Nationalism as an end product or 'top down' phenomena usually sees it come up against accusations of xenophobia and of course 'racism'. This is primarily based on the fact that Nationalists seek to sustain their own people, land and sovereignty.

In a global economy with mass immigration the liberals consider this 'selfish'. Instead of understanding the

need to preserve our history, heritage and culture we are charged with hatred of difference. This is a total misconception as it suggests that Nationalism's very nature relies entirely upon the existence of an opposite -The Other -The one who does not belong. This duality implies that Nationalism cannot function without the 'outsider'. As a grassroots or 'bottom up' phenomena this is not born out. In fact it is in this light that Durkheim's principle of 'emergent property' becomes significant.

As an end product it can be argued that Nationalism is a reactionary response by an extremist few to 'multiculturalism'. As a grassroots, possibly innate response, this has the potential to be an ancient pattern.

Even given that his (potential) notion

of Nationalism is fundamentally flawed, Hammond has still managed to make a very valid point.

"Analysis of cults at societal level proposes cults tend to flourish in societies where significant numbers of citizens do not regard their indigenous religious traditions as adequate to express their relationship (...) with society."

Hammond also asserts that this is essentially attractive to the young middle class as they are likely to be the people most in line to 'inherit' the 'conventions' of their societies. "Alienation from homeland and its institutions is a major factor" in young peoples attraction to cults. In Albion's experience it can also attract the indigenous young to a multitude of other unsavoury pursuits - crime and drugs to name a few!


It is also clear from Hammond's essay that young people are vulnerable to 'scenes' offering social cohesion where such cohesion is lacking. Again Albion is a glaring example. The political elite twists our history so our young folk find nothing in which to take pride. It is the cultures of others that take precedence. In schools and colleges only guilt is taught so much so that our indigenous children are likely to know little of their own national culture.

Hammond does not come to a valid conclusion as he asserts that there is not enough evidence. He continues to jump about looking at differing treatments of 'civil religion' and 'identity'. Yet to me the conclusion is simple. The countries of the West must re-Nationalise in order to maintain their separate identities. Multiculturalism does not work. Rampant relativism is destroying freedom of speech and leaving the way open to global Islamification. The only way forward is for Britain to regain her sovereignty from the EU. Albion needs a British Nationalist Government.



# The Stereotype Crumbles

Bea Kaye from Scotland explains why she wants to defend our culture, traditions and people



Occasionally self-reflection is a very positive activity. I don't mean in a moralising or narcissistic manner, more a verification of where you stand in life and the reasons why you uphold certain beliefs or condemn others, in other words the application of critical analysis.

If you identify your position unambiguously, and are able to explain it, then you are increasingly likely to enjoy credibility from others and may even persuade them to review their own positions. So it was then with the publication of the 'Roll of Honour' (the leaked list of BNP members, some genuine and some names taken straight out of a telephone directory) I thought an assessment of why I remain a Nationalist was in order.

If race truly were a 'social construct' as the politically correct have us believe

there would be no need for nationalism. Other races obviously exist phenotypically and genotypically but I'm not here because I hate them, I just want to defend our own culture, traditions and people and hopefully in the process try to secure a civilized future for those of us who follow.

## MISUNDERSTOOD

I'm frequently misunderstood, which is not discouraging as it can be amusing. Some individuals appear totally convinced that nationalists lurk on the edges of society dragging their knuckles and unable to string two words together. They also suppose us to be uninformed, indoctrinated, poor, socially incompetent, filled with hate or had our views formed as a result of some past traumatic incident. They could not be more

mistaken.

My knuckles are roughly located in the same area as everybody else's and I hope it's evident from this article that words are not alien to me. I'm not a genius but neither am I a brainless idiot. I hold a University Hons. Degree in Art and Philosophy and by anyone's standards extensively read. I can surely claim that the stereotype crumbles.

As for being marginalized or socially cut off, my friends and acquaintances range through artists, architects, interior designers, youth workers, truck drivers, farmers, dinner ladies, and yes nationalists like my good friend The Green Arrow. I have black friends and white friends have been taught how to drape a sari and cook an authentic curry (still much in demand!) I've visited Turkey, Egypt, Slovakia, France, Spain, Germany, Holland, Italy, and Ireland,





hardly a globetrotter but probably enough to make me racially and culturally conscious to some extent. The stereotype crumbles.

I also do not believe that I'm lacking in social skills as much of the media like to suggest is typical of the white nationalist, who live their lives bonding together exclusively... unable to associate with anyone outside their circle. What utter rot! Although I have friends in the nationalist movement I rarely see them and I certainly did not become one in order to make friends... that was just a bonus. My immediate circle who I see on a regular basis are from many walks of life, so this does not apply to me either. The stereotype crumbles.

Prosperity or poverty then? I've experienced both so this is not valid. Love of one's country does not depend on whether you are rich or poor. The

stereotype crumbles.

Am I xenophobic, do I hate and fear other races; am I a bitter narrow-minded bigot? Again... I don't hate anybody I just don't like the feeling of having my back up against the wall and everything our country has ever stood for relentlessly worn away by corrupt politicians and the EU in some kind of vast social engineering experiment.

#### VIEWS ARE SELF-FORMULATED

I haven't been brainwashed into nationalism either, I'm here without restraint after careful reflection, and all my views are self-formulated.

This leaves some kind of ghastly incident in the past as a possible stimulus. Like anyone else good things and bad things have occurred during my lifetime but they have not made me what I am s.

today, and they correspond to nothing. If a horse kicks me I don't believe that all horses will do the same and that this is the characteristic behaviour of all horses. I need much more substantive evidence than that. The stereotype crumbles.

Yes I'm listed and have suffered fallout with some of you, but my priority here is to point out that I came to be listed through independent means and reasoning. I source all kinds of data continuously; expressive of both sides of the question, and come to my own conclusions i.e. the principles of nationalism are true and right.

It's a hard and thorny path; difficult truths are not popular with the majority. It's also a risky path but if I did not believe in what I was doing wholeheartedly then why would I take the risk?

The stereotype is no longer viable.



# Annual Conference 2009

## YORKSHIRE MOTION

This Conference also calls for an immediate ban on experiments on primates, dogs and cats for the cosmetic industry and further affirms that all experimentation will be banned within 5 years of a British National Party government taking office whenever this can be done without prejudice to human health or life. Furthermore, this Conference recognises that following Britain's withdrawal from the European Union, a British National Party Government will have sufficient funds to facilitate extensive research into other means of testing that does not include animals (e.g. Research using synthetic membranes and other methods).

# PASSED



ABOVE RIGHT: Nick and Jackie Griffin at the Gala dinner on the Friday evening.

## WALES MOTION

Conference acknowledges that Britain has a proud record of protecting our heritage, with laws protecting historic buildings, rights of way, wild flowers, birds' eggs and hedgehogs. Yet no law exists and there is no Government support or funding available to protect our native farm livestock, of which there are 70 breeds of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats, ponies and poultry facing extinction.

We have already lost 26 native breeds such as the Somerset Sheeted cattle and Lincolnshire Curly Coated pigs. The BNP should introduce a policy to protect British farm livestock and also to stop foreign livestock being imported which threatens our native breeds.

# PASSED

## WEST MIDLANDS MOTION

This Conference believes that a future British National Party Government should overturn the smoking ban in public houses and leave it up to the landlord whether a pub is non-smoking or not. The smoking ban in pubs and clubs was a draconian piece of legislation primarily designed to limit socializing in a public place and to close down venues for community gatherings. It is not the job of the Government to interfere in our private lives.

Whether customers choose a smoking or non-smoking pub is simply a matter of freedom of choice, it shouldn't be dictated by Government.

# PASSED



BOTTOM: Martin and Tina Wingfield.



Yorkshire MEP Andrew Brons  
impresses everyone with an  
amusing after dinner speech

# British International

## NORTH EAST MOTION

This Conference proposes that the national minimum wage be increased across the board to £7.00 an hour. A fairer minimum wage will help provide a better standard of living for people working in traditionally lower-paid jobs who have suffered more than most from the flooding of the jobs market by cheap migrant labour. The current minimum wage legislation deprives the under-22s of the right to a fair wage when performing the same work as their over 21-counterparts.

# REJECTED

## SOUTH EAST MOTION

This Annual Conference believes that a return to the Sunday Trading Regulations as of post 1980 would considerably benefit family life and would help to re-establish our Christian values and British culture.

We acknowledge that there should be exemptions to the strict legislation of thirty years ago and would allow public houses and licensed restaurants to be open from 12 noon to 2.00pm. and then in the evening from 6.00 pm to 10.00pm. Newsagents would be allowed to open from 8.00am to 12 noon. Theme Parks and Holiday Parks would also be exempt due to the nature of their business.

# REJECTED

## EASTERN REGION

This Conference believes that British service personnel in any combat operations outside of the British Isles for more than 30 consecutive days should be exempt from personnel taxation. We feel it is wrong that a soldier, who on entry to the service is paid just £13,677 per annum, should be subjected to taxation on his or her wages when sent into a combat situation.

# PASSED

BOTTOM: Mark Collett Head of Publicity, David Hannam Deputy Treasurer, James North Melton and Rutland Organiser and Rachel Hill.





### EAST MIDLANDS MOTION

This Conference calls for a cut in the tax rate on alcohol when served in licensed premises in order to create a more level playing field between pubs and supermarkets and an increase in the tax at Retail Grocery outlets. To reduce the duty on a pint of beer from the current 50p to a more realistic 15 to 20p would help landlords and by making Real Ales exempt from duty altogether when sold within a thirty miles radius of where they are brewed would help small breweries. The British Pub, which has been at the heart of our community for hundreds of years, is under attack. 52 Pubs are closing every week. 3,188 have closed since the 2008 Budget when beer went up by 4p a pint.

**PASSED**

RIGHT: The Annual Conference is a showcase of the party's best and brightest who qualify as Voting Members thanks to their dedication to the party.

### NORTHWEST MOTION

The British National Party demands that there should be no exemption from British Law on religious grounds. In commending this proposal Conference specifically calls for the dissolution of Sharia Courts, the banning of ritual slaughter, the outlawing of forced marriages and the physical and mental abuse of children as part of religious rituals (e.g. Whipping with chains during the Shia Muslim Festival of Ashura, forced fasting and the mutilation of genitals)

**PASSED**

### SCOTLAND MOTION

This Conference is utterly opposed to our armed forces being involved in any way whatsoever with any military action against the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The wars of aggression waged by the Labour Party, with the help of the Conservative opposition, on the peoples of Iraq and Afghanistan have been a disaster for Britain as well as these two countries. This must not be followed by another war with Iran.

**PASSED**

RIGHT: Deputy Leader Simon Darby introduced the speakers and chaired the lively debates.







#### SOUTHWEST MOTION

This Conference seeks to abolish private health care schemes, including dental practices and non-NHS hospitals and to ban foreign companies from providing services to the NHS at inflated prices. We want to see a prohibition on private health care companies running GP clinics and nursing homes as this is privatization of the NHS by stealth and drives up the cost of healthcare. Also, only British nationals would be eligible for free health care as the increasing number of health tourists coming to Britain for treatment is having a detrimental effect on our hospitals and adversely affecting the service provided to local people.

## WITHDRAWN



ABOVE: Nick Griffin gives his closing address to both the party faithful and the BBC cameras.



#### LONDON MOTION

This Party proposes that immigrants who have come to Britain illegally, whether as asylum seekers, refugees or migrant workers, should not be allowed to register any baby born during their temporary stay on these shores as a British citizen.

## REJECTED



Tim Heydon says that the amazing transformation in the BBC's broadcasting standards mean that the ultimate depravity cannot be far away

**Coming Next on the BBC:  
Sex With Babies?**




Sex with babies? On Television? Surely not even on the BBC! But wait, let me explain. The recent furore over the Jonathan Ross/Russell Brand (left) affair was merely a battle lost in a generation-long war conducted against the forces of tradition and morality in broadcasting by those of left-liberal nihilism. The gains made by the liberal-left are surrendered, only for a time, if at all. There will be no end to its advance until a state of complete public depravity is arrived at, such as where babies can be sexually abused or even killed for public entertainment. Yes, really.

The philosopher Roger Scruton said this about the BBC of his youth: 'Lord Reith set the tone... decorous, civilised, reserved, with meticulous respect for truth, a consciousness of authority and an acknowledgement of standards'.... the BBC (is) now devoted to abolishing what remains of the national culture ... Today, the Proms are probably the only enterprise controlled by the BBC which that Institution cannot pervert into some kind of anti-English satire.'

What brought about the amazing transformation we see in broadcasting standards in Britain? As in so many other areas of our national life, it is the product of the 1960's cultural revolution in Britain. The leftist radicals of that era saw the decent cultural values of the old BBC as 'hegemony' - part of a method of oppression of the marginalised and the weak in society by dominant groups, ideas derived from Marx by way of the Italian leftist Gramsci (right) (1891-1937). The way to overcome the 'false consciousness' that this 'oppression' engenders was the 'Long March Through the Institutions' which involved the takeover of such institutions of cultural influence as the BBC by those who had come to accept Gramsci's views and

using them to attack the old order by incessantly dwelling on its flaws without any balancing appreciation of its merits.

The liberal-left takeover of the media and its use by them as a propaganda tool to further their political agenda was soon in evidence. The elevation of 'oppressed' groups such as ethnic minorities and homosexuals and the celebration of 'diversity' for its own sake, regardless of the merits of the diverse, was justified by the nihilist, Marx-inspired philosophy which admitted no superiority for anything, least of all the values of the 'cultural oppressors', i.e. ordinary white people. This political bias has reached into every area of the broadcast media, and is in reality a deadly form of oppression of the majority by its own political class.



What brought about the amazing transformation we see in broadcasting standards in Britain? As in so many other areas of our national life, it is the product of the 1960's cultural revolution in Britain.

The assumption in the BBC that its neo-Marxist bias is simply mainstream normality is witnessed to by such as Stephen Whittle, a former controller of the BBC's editorial policy, who said that

its journalists work within a 'straightjacket of unchallenged liberal assumptions.' And Robert Aitken, a BBC journalist for 25 years confirmed (*'Can We Trust the BBC'*) that the 'liberal left culture of the BBC's TV stronghold in White City is basically intact'.

With this liberal-left takeover there dawned an era when as the philosopher Anthony O'Hear, President of the Royal Institute of Philosophy remarks, (*'After Progress'*), 'today's Radio Three was for a number of years run by a man who professed to be unable to discriminate between the worth of Schubert and Bob Dylan.' No superiority must be shown or implied, for that would be judgemental and divisive, the worst of all crimes. O'Hear continues, 'Situation comedies and soap operas plumb ever new depths in inanity and filth, linguistic and behavioural, the underlying tone being a relentless denigration of anything and everything but the lowest tastes and attitudes'

What happens is that programme makers, who in general accept the need to destroy 'hegemonic' culture of the 'oppressors', i.e. Ours, try to make a name for themselves by being 'edgy,' i.e. probing the boundaries of currently acceptable taste, which retain traces of traditional viewpoints. Other programme makers advance to the position won by the first, the public's moral instincts are perverted and trained to accept the unacceptable by a process of desensitisation through familiarity, and the new position becomes the standard by which further incursions are then judged. By this means, there is a continual progression down the path to the abyss.

Smut and the language of the gutter are today the common coin of everyday broadcasting, but we have now reached the stage when The BBC can depict



scenes of lesbian lovemaking ('Tipping the Velvet'), a dog raping a woman ('Love Soup'), and the corpses of viewers' relatives ('Malcom and Barbara: Love's Farewell'). Channel Four has shown scenes of live love making ('Sex Inspectors') and has devoted a programme ('Beyond Love') to those who are attracted to the idea of having sex with dead bodies and ('Animal Passions') those who have had sex with animals. But a final taboo is being approached with a documentary screened by Channel 4 in 2003 which involved an act of cannibalism when a Chinese man ate a dead baby.

There is nothing to stop this continuing slide into the abyss, once the


restraints of religion and tradition are dismissed, as they have been by the peddlers of bias and filth who now dominate the BBC and the other broadcast media. There are no further arguments to deploy because the only real argument, that of traditional, Christian standards of decency, has already been overcome. Consider: what else is there? The natural goodness of humanity which will ensure Public Outrage? No. As we have seen, a public which has been cast adrift from its moral sheet anchor can be trained to accept things against its better nature, things which it would, rightly have thought of as absolutely shocking and disgusting only a few decades ago.

Human Rights? Contrary to the belief of some, these are as flexible as public opinion when cut adrift from its moral anchors. They can be interpreted at will to suit whatever agenda is at hand. And that is precisely what the prominent liberal philosopher Peter Singer (left), the Ira DeCamp - Professor of Bioethics at Princeton, one of America's most prestigious universities, suggests that we do when it comes to the 'Right to Life,' which can be made to mean no right at all simply by redefining what it is to be human, according to preference.

Children under about one month of age should not be treated as human beings and have the rights of human beings, he says, because they lack the mental equipment necessary for them to be considered as such. So, says Singer, they may be killed at will if the parents and others immediately involved so desire. And of course if they can be killed at will, why not have sex with them as well, if that too is desired?

Singer's views, barbarism dressed up as reason, represent a logical outcome of the thinking of the liberal leftist such as those who run the BBC. 'Rational' liberalism banishes normal, reasonable human love; love of family, love of one's own people such instincts and the religious traditions which address them, channel them and give them authority are outmoded; they belong to a dead era of human development and now 'reason', which is superior, being divorced from the human, must take over.

Lord Reith remarked; 'If the day should come, and I don't think it will, when broadcasting should play to the lowest rather than to the highest in man, then the country will have fallen very low'. Sadly Reith was wrong and the country has sunk very low. Will it sink lower still? Who is to stop it except the BNP?







# STANDING ORDERS - The Best Way to Help the Party Grow

## STANDING ORDER FORM

Fill in  
and  
return  
the  
form  
below  
within  
the next  
7 days

Please complete the  
details on this form and  
return to:  
**British Heritage,  
FREEPOST.**

Fill out using BLOCK CAPITALS

TITLE: ☐ Dr ☐ Rev ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms

FIRST NAME:

LAST NAME:

HOUSE N°:  STREET:

TOWN:

CITY:

POSTCODE:

TEL N°:

DATE OF BIRTH:

E-MAIL:

YES! I want to make a monthly gift to 'British  
Heritage' of £12.00 or £  each month  
until further notice and debit my account below:

ACCOUNT NUMBER:

SORT CODE:  -  -

STARTING ON:

Please allow at least one month between signing  
this form and the date of the first payment

*Signature*

TODAY'S DATE

TO: The Manager (Bank name and address)

POSTCODE:

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY: British Heritage

**POST BACK TO US - NOT YOUR BANK**



# Nick Griffin on Britain & Europe

Nick Griffin was interviewed early November by Serbian journalist Igor Zivanovic for the leading Belgrade daily paper Danas. His answers make it clear where the BNP stands on Europe and other key issues

**Q1.** *Your position toward Islam is well known. You believe that Islam is more ideology, than religion. What is your position toward (Slavic and Greek) Orthodox Christianity?*

**NG.** The British flag contains within it three Christian crosses. Britain is a Christian nation and we recognise that other Christian nations observe the Christian religion in ways that vary from our own but, nonetheless, are compatible and of equal value to our own variety. Naturally, in terms of our sympathies, we uphold Christianity over competing religions. One is tempted to observe that

Christ was not elected by the 'New World Order'.

**Q2.** *What is your position on EU enlargement with Western Balkans countries - Serbia, Croatia, Macedonia, Bosnia and Hercegovina, Montenegro and Albania?*

**NG.** We oppose EU enlargement for the simple reason that we regard the EU as an undemocratic, Orwellian power bloc that seeks to extirpate nation states and the identities of the peoples therein. We are determined to withdraw Britain from the EU in order to regain our own national sovereignty and we strongly

advise the nations of the Balkans to place their hard won freedom above the temporary gains to be found within the new Soviet of the EU. We do not oppose the establishment of alliances between nation states but that is an issue for each nation to determine. Furthermore, Britain is a net financial contributor to the EU and expansion of the EU will necessitate a further strain on our budget. Not least, expansion of the EU will lead to a further wave of immigration.

**Q3.** *You oppose immigration from the Islamic part of the world. What do you think about*







*immigration coming from European states of the ex-communist block such as ex-Yugoslavia? (Serbia, Croatia, Macedonia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro).*

**NG.** Britain is one of the most highly populated regions of the world and we have no further room to accept immigrants. There is no history of immigration from the Balkans into Britain so we see no reason why such should become an issue, anymore than emigration from Britain into the Balkans might become an issue. If the Balkan states should join the EU, we anticipate immigration will become an issue and we will oppose it, representing the majority view in Britain by this stance.

**Q4.** *Are MEPs from your party going to vote on behalf of visa lifting for the Western Balkans countries and what is your opinion on that issue?*

**NG.** No, we will not vote to lift visas.

**Q5.** *What do you think about Kosovo independence?*

**NG.** We understand Kosovo is the ancient heartland of the Serbian nation. To have lost it to a combination of muslim immigration, high birth rates and ethnic cleansing of Serbs, and in conjunction with the illegal and immoral Nato war, is not merely a tragedy for Serbia but a serious reverse for the whole of Christian Europe.

**Q6.** *What is your position on NATO and how do you see Russia today?*

**NG.** Since the end of the 'Cold War' Nato has increasingly become politicised and an instrument of international power politics. We believe in an independent British armed forces whose purpose is to defend the interests of Britain at home and abroad. Russia is a great nation whose people, civilisation and institutions were abused, suppressed and ill-treated under the Communist regime. Since then, Russia has seen many of its assets stripped by the oligarchs whilst its economy has been viewed as an underexploited fruit, ripe for the profitable machinations of internationalist bankers. More generally, Russia is being treated as if the 'Cold War' continued and this has more to do with American power politics than with any genuine principles or feelings regarding the nations that surround Russia. Here in the EU, I can see on an almost daily basis the hostility of the Western liberal elite to Russia, and I am dismayed that the two halves of Christendom which should be co-operating and, to an extent, coming together, are being pushed apart by the new cold war propaganda and manoeuvres of the neo-cons.

**Q7.** *What is your opinion on Zionism and do you see Jews as a threat to Britain society?*

**NG.** Britain has no business intruding into the affairs of the Middle East, in respect either of Israel or any other territory. Nor is it our business to support American foreign policy in the Middle East as the USA's junior partner. We have no strategic interests to defend in the Middle East. So far as British Jews are concerned, we have one elected Jewish councillor. Naturally, we expect all those who are British citizens to owe their primary allegiance to the British realm.

**Q8.** *In your homeland officials and some media have labeled you as fascist and menace to society. What is your ideological position?*

**NG.** The reverse is the case - as befits the Orwellian society in which we live. The BNP did not set up the surveillance society; we did not promote an illegal war in the Middle East; we did not conspire to the transfer of Britain's sovereignty and our ancient democratic freedoms to an undemocratic EU superstate. It is within this light that the real fascists may be identified and, clearly, they belong to the corrupt old parties and their bedfellows in the internationalist mass media.

**Q9.** *Serbia defined its foreign policy as "policy of four columns (Brussels, Washington, Moscow and Beijing)". On which column we should rely most?*

**NG.** It is not for the BNP to presume to lecture Serbia in terms of her foreign policy. Nonetheless, we would suggest that Brussels is the seat of the undemocratic, conspiratorial politics of an Orwellian superstate, devoid of legitimacy. Washington, meanwhile, pursues the politics of American financial imperialism. Beijing pursues the politics of a Chinese dictatorship, whose interests are markedly Chinese. Serbia's traditional allies are, surely, Russian?



Peter Mills suggests we need to understand the invisible political noose which is already being slipped round the neck of the population and being remorselessly tightened. For this will also show why the BNP is subject to such a sustained media campaign of abuse and misrepresentation



## The Grey Executive's Club

**A**s a pensioner, I have been an observer of politics and its effects upon society and culture for many decades. My political interest was first awakened by the reformation of the world after the horrors and national upheavals brought about by World War 2. I avidly remember such things as cheering news of the Berlin Airlift because it "poked a finger in Stalin's eye"; my disbelief that Churchill could lose a general election following his history-making greatness during the war; the Korean War; and my disgust at the debacle of Suez under the inept timidity of prime minister Eden.

Strangely, it was at about this period I began to notice a pronounced "greyness"

creeping into British politics. By this, I mean it slowly became more difficult to identify any politician, regardless of party, who was prepared to step outside an invisible but cast-iron retaining wall that acted very much like the "walls of conduct" of a Victorian gentleman's club.

### OBVIOUS EXAMPLE

One obvious example of a politician who attempted to act outside this invisible barrier was Enoch Powell, who was effectively swatted like a buzzing fly. I mention him not because of what he said (this article has nothing to do with racial issues) but because he "spoke outside the box"; the "box" being that same invisible

retaining barrier, and regardless of the content or message of any speech, if it is made "outside the box" it is deemed by the officials of the "Grey Club" to be a major offence.

This 'Grey Club' has by now succeeded in enveloping the political system of the capitalist world. It has one desire, and only one - Absolute Power! Through its members, it has taken over the mainstream political parties so that, like the poor bemused animals looking through the window at the ruling pigs drinking and playing cards with the deposed farmer in Orwell's *Animal Farm*, it is no longer possible to tell them apart.

In the UK, the New Labour, Conservative and Liberal Democrat



parties (with their new junior lapdog UKIP) have ceased to represent determinedly alternative and mutually-excluding clear-cut parties each advocating a radically different ideology, as they were in my student days in the late 40s and early 50s. Instead, they have now been welded together, smoothed-out by the shadowy "Grey Club" so that they are little more than several slightly different interest groups within the same larger single party (Now known as the Lib-Lab-Con party - *Editor*).

Indeed, the UK is now, in effect if not yet in name, operating under an actual single-party system, as did the former Soviet Union and as does present day China and North Korea, with the true freedom of vista-wide political difference now merely an illusion; the corpse of Democracy resurrected as a zombie under the control of a greater and largely invisible puppet master.

## BILDERBERG GROUP

You might well ask can all of this actually be proven? And secondly, just who are these "Grey Executives"? Yes, this can all be proved, and yes, the identity of the members of the "Grey Club" can be revealed - or most of them, anyway.

Furthermore, it is not merely some metaphorical 'club' or group of my own paranoid literary meanderings, but a real and tangible power group. Indeed, you may already have heard of it under one of its other names, the "New World Order". However, its more common name is the Bilderberg Group. It is a fact that this group of power-crazed individuals now controls most of the world, including all mainstream UK political parties and the EU (which is largely their creation) from behind the scenes.

Surely, you may think, this is some half-baked and improbable conspiracy theory. I wish it were, but it is not. Consider these facts, all of which are on public record and can be confirmed in absolute terms (for quick confirmation, spend some time on Google or some other search engine and see what comes up under "Bilderberg Group").

In the last three days of May 1954, four very powerful and influential men held a meeting at the Hotel de Bilderberg in Oosterbeek, Holland. They were the Communist Chargé d'Affairs of Soviet-ruled Poland Dr. Joseph H. Retinger, Walter Bedell Smith director of the CIA and previously US ambassador to Moscow (later to become Under Secretary of State to President Eisenhower), Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, and Colin Gubbins, previously director of the British Special Operations Executive (SOE), a highly effective wartime planning and espionage organisation which, after January 1946, largely became assimilated within MI6.

From this initial meeting, it was agreed that a new unofficial worldwide organisation should be established with the objective of steering the politics of the entire world in a direction that would best suit the group's members, whilst not requiring the group to be subject to any elections which might possibly reject their advances. This group was properly titled "The Alliance", but, since its members were reluctant to reveal the name, became more generally known from the hotel that housed its inaugural meeting as simply the Bilderberg Group.

Quickly, the group set up a suitable Director and a Ruling Council. Its first director was Alastair Buchan, Chairman of the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) sometimes better known simply by the name of its London HQ, Chatham House.

The group's ruling council was equally powerful: in fact, as can be seen by their following CV, they represent the single most powerful group of individuals in world history.

John Loudon of Rothschilds; Paul Nitze of Shroeder Bank; Stansfield Turner, soon to be Director of the CIA; C. L. Sulzberger (the Sulzberger family owned the New York Times); Peter Calvocoressi (Eton School, barrister in 1935, he was head of the intelligence section dealing with "Ultra" decrypts from the Luftwaffe's *Enigma* code machines at Bletchley Park during the war, operated for British Intelligence under the four Chief Prosecutors at the Nuremberg War Trials, worked after the war in an executive capacity for Chatham House and the Institute for Strategic Studies, and became Editor-in-Chief of Penguin Books for a short time); Daniel Ellsberg of the RAND Corporation (a global think-tank mainly funded by the US Government); and last but definitely not least, Dr. Henry Kissinger, US Secretary of State under presidents Nixon and Ford, US National Security Advisor, former member of the US Army Counter Intelligence Corps, Nobel Prize winner, first person to be given the Ewald von Kleist Award by the Munich Conference on Security Policy, statistically the most frequent visitor to the White House occupied by George W. Bush where he was unofficial advisor regarding Israel, the Middle East and the invasion of Iraq, and accused by some of war crimes for his policies in the Vietnam War and for his part in setting up dictatorial governments in South America.

(It is an apocryphal legend that author Ian Fleming, creator of James Bond and former member of Naval Intelligence, used the Bilderberg Group as the inspiration for SPECTRE, his



sinister group intent on controlling the world from behind the scenes that made its debut in the 1961 novel *Thunderball*.)

Since its founding, the Bilderberg Group has admitted many more prominent members and it holds meetings each year in various parts of the world, under conditions of immensely heavy security. The first Chairman was (German born) Prince Bernhard of the Netherlands, husband of Queen Juliana, considered the world's richest woman due, amongst other assets, to her owning 5% of Royal Dutch Shell Oil Co. in a business partnership with Baron Victor Rothschild.

Of immense interest and relevance to the character and intentions of the Bilderberg Group is a written comment by Prince Bernhard: *"...Here comes our greatest difficulty. For the governments of the free nations are elected by the people, and if they do something the people don't like they are thrown out. It is difficult to re-educate the people who have been brought up on nationalism to the idea of relinquishing part of their authority to a supernational body."* [1]

## CONTROL THE WORLD

The possibility of a ruling elite who can be thrown out by ordinary people in an election is something the Bilderberg Group will not tolerate. Their single aim is to control the world through the manipulation of power without having to be inconvenienced by elections. Elections and their results only apply to the "democratic" political parties who form the strata beneath the real rulers. It is a matter of indifference to the Group whether an election is won by the Conservatives, or New Labour, or the Liberal Democrats, or UKIP, or any other party organised by establishment mainstream professional politicians.

Whoever may win, they are merely the puppets of the real rulers of the world, who remain shadowy and unchallengeable behind the scenes whilst preserving the illusion that governments depend on being elected by the people. Indeed they do, but if all parties are under the influence of the Group, they win whatever the results.

This, quite seriously, is one of the principal reasons why the (controlled) press, the (controlled) television stations, the (controlled) establishment and (controlled) opinion-makers at the upper end of the social scale come down with unusual, unnecessary and undeserved he

scathing attacks and blasts of untrue propaganda against the British National Party, because the BNP and its leadership and its membership represent a "maverick" political party over which the Bilderberg Group have no direct control, and which advocates nationalism as its major cause, directly in opposition to the Group's desires.

## POLITICAL NATIONALISM

The Bilderberg Group despises and abhors any form of political nationalism, for the straightforward reason that such ideals diametrically conflict with their





desire to create one entire single world state, over which they rule from behind the scenes and above and separated from all political accountability resting with an electorate.

It is the Bilderberg Group who have brought about the European Union, and will undoubtedly bring about the later inevitable "Western Hemisphere Union" and "Eastern Hemisphere Union" which they will eventually merge into the equally inevitable "Global Authority Union", whatever names are actually used to describe these things. They have brought about the single European currency, which is destined to eventually

be merged with the US dollar and other currency systems. They are responsible for creating the notion, followed by the growing reality, of the "Global Village". And this is also why we in the UK will never be permitted a genuine free referendum over our membership of the EU, unless opinion polls first show a guaranteed majority in favour, or else - as with Eire - a "no" vote is not allowed and further voting is insisted upon until the population gets so fed up they vote "yes" in order to be let off the hook of further argument. We have witnessed it happening!

Giovanni Agnelli (Chairman of Fiat Italy), a Bilderberg member, has stated: *"European integration is our goal and where politicians have failed we industrialists hope to succeed."* [2] In fact, Bilderberg policy is established by a Steering Committee believed to consist of 35 people, and an inner circle known as the Advisory Committee, amongst whom Henry Kissinger, James Wolfensohn (World Bank President) and Jorma Ollila (CEO of Nokia Corp.) are known to have been members at some time.

Both Walter Scheel (former president of West Germany) and Lord Carrington (former Secretary-General of NATO, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, Secretary of State for Energy, Secretary of State for Defence, Leader of the House of Lords, Minister Without Portfolio, First Lord of the Admiralty and board member of Hambros Bank) are past Chairmen of the Bilderberg Group, whose official office address is 1 Smidswater, The Hague, Netherlands, and they also have an address in the USA.

In 1970 the Bilderberg Group held its annual meeting at Bad Ragaz in Switzerland, where amongst items under discussion was the political education within American state-funded schools. It

was agreed that schools in the USA were "too nationalistic" and that a new educational policy should be adopted in the future in which "the subordination of national ambitions to the idea of the international community" should be promoted. This resulted in the "Goals 2000" program introduced by President George H. W. Bush (President George W. Bush's father) to reform the schools system in the USA.

In 1971 the Group met in Woodstock, Virginia, when Prince Bernhard announced that the subject of the meeting was "the change of the world role of the United States". After the meeting, Bilderberg member Henry Kissinger was dispatched to Communist China to expand trade relations, which instigated an international financial crisis that devalued the US dollar by some 8.57%, by coincidence resulting in a huge profit for any bank or fund that converted to the European currency, which was correspondingly strengthened.

## COLLAPSE OF COMMUNISM

In 1976 the Group met in Arizona and the meeting was attended by fifteen representatives from the Soviet Union. Although it cannot be proven, it is believed by many that this was when the orders were passed down for the arrangement of the collapse of Communism in the Soviet Union and the termination of the Soviet Union itself.

In 1990 the Group met at Glen Cove, Long Island, New York, and amongst items on the agenda was a discussion at which it was agreed that much greater sums must be paid to service the debt owed to international banking. Accordingly, President George "Read My Lips - No New Taxes" Bush Senior on 15th November 1990 authorised one of the biggest tax increases in history (which







unfortunately lost him the following presidential re-election - an expendable casualty for the Group, because the next president, Bill Clinton, was a member and was supported in his candidacy by them).

### BEHIND THE SMEAR CAMPAIGN

In 1991 the Group met in Baden Baden in Germany's beautiful Black Forest where on the agenda was a plan to introduce a common European currency and a European Central Bank. In a speech given at this venue, David Rockefeller stated:

*"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times magazine, and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years... It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during these years. But the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World Government. The supernational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries."* [3]

"National auto-determination" translates into plain English as a nation's right to govern itself by its own elected politicians. This means that "maverick" national parties such as the British National Party are to be phased out, because they represent the prospective self-government of a country through elections where the population is permitted to vote for them if it wishes to. The Bilderberg Group demands that only those political parties it controls be permitted to govern. This, of course, fully explains the entire vitriolic smear campaign launched against the BNP by the (Bilderberg) controlled press and (Bilderberg) controlled politicians.




In 1992, the Group adopted the plan to order into being a United Nations army, by which any unobliging or disobedient country could be forced to toe the required line. Henry Kissinger said of this plan; *"Today, Americans would be outraged if UN forces entered Los Angeles to restore order. Tomorrow, they will be grateful."* In this connection, United Nations General Secretary Boutros Boutros-Ghali stated in a speech to the American Association of Newspaper Publishers given at the United Nations Building (the content of the speech being

suppressed by the newspapers); *"The UN Security Council must have a permanent force that can be deployed anywhere in the world, instantly, to protect the peace and ensure human rights... This force must be allowed to intervene at the local and community levels."* [4]

Therefore, it seems fairly certain that if in the future the BNP gets a sufficient vote in a general election to form a legitimate government in the UK, we will be invaded by the United Nations' own private army who will stamp out any active traces of personal voting freedom





in our nation and depose the democratically elected government. We must hope the BNP is elected to govern before the Bilderberg Master Plan concerning an independent militarily empowered United Nations dictatorship is completed. Time, it is apparent, is running out.

Prominent world figures dance attendance upon the Bilderberg meetings, in a manner reminiscent of the politicians reporting to Ian Fleming's SPECTRE council for their orders (in one James Bond movie, in a SPECTRE

base, a throwaway remark is heard about "programming a prime minister"). Amongst the well known people who have presented themselves to the meetings of the Bilderberg Group are the following (take a deep breath - the list is huge, and these are just a small percentage of the names).

Dean Acheson (President Truman's Secretary of State); Allen Dulles (CIA Director); Christian Herter (President Eisenhower's Secretary of State); George Kennan (US Ambassador to the Soviet Union); Dean Rusk (President Kennedy's Secretary of State); Robert McNamara (President Kennedy's Treasury Secretary and World Bank President); Donald Rumsfeld (Secretary of Defence to Presidents Ford and Bush); Cyrus Vance (President Carter's Secretary of State); Alan Greenspan (Chairman of the Federal Reserve System); Gerald Ford (President of the USA); Bill Clinton (President of the USA); Lloyd Bentsen (Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee and President Clinton's Secretary of the Treasury); General Andrew J. Goodpaster (once Supreme Allied Commander in Europe and Superintendent of West Point Military Academy); General Alexander Haig (NATO Commander and President Reagan's Secretary of State); Arthur Taylor (Chairman of CBS TV); Lord Louis Mountbatten of Burma; Denis Healy (British Defence Minister and Chancellor of the Exchequer); Alec Douglas-Home (Conservative Prime Minister); Edward Heath (Conservative Prime Minister); Harold Wilson (Labour Prime Minister); Margaret Thatcher (Conservative Prime Minister); Lester Pearson (Canadian Prime Minister); Valéry Giscard d'Estang (President of France); Helmut Schmidt (West German Chancellor); Paul Van Zeeland (Belgium Prime Minister); Wilfred Baumgartner

(Bank of France); Guido Carli (Bank of Italy); Marcus Wallenberg (Enskilda Bank, Sweden); Pierce Paul Schweitzer (Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund or IMF).

### NON-ELECTED DICTATORS

This sinister group of non-elected dictators who control the governments and major institutions of the world from behind the scenes, the Bilderberg Group, is single-mindedly intent on turning the entire population of the world into their subjects and servants.

To achieve the culmination of their plan, there must be no more national borders or barriers, no more independent monetary systems, no more non-controlled political parties, no more possibility of voters electing into office anyone who is not an approved Bilderberg puppet.

If you are a British National Party supporter, you need to also know that you may well be the final chance for the world to break out of the dictatorship that has already seized it in an iron grip and vote for the election of a truly independent and truly British government rather than one that takes its orders from the Grey Executive's Club.

[1] Source: "Bilderbergers" by Jim Lucier, American Opinion, November 1964, p62.

[2] Source: Newswatch Magazine, article by David J. Smith

[3] Source: Dr. Dennis Cuddy, A Chronological History of the New World Order.

[4] Source for all items in this paragraph is The Spotlight Newspaper, June 8th 1992, p.10, published by Liberty Lobby, 300 Independence Avenue S.E., Washington D.C.20003.





## High Keynes - Low Carbon

Andrew McKillop is a former chief policy analyst, Division A Policy, DG XVII Energy, European Commission. We publish his exclusive article in Identity because it explains much of the origin and spread of the economic crisis. However, this does not mean that the BNP agrees with all his conclusions, particularly his acceptance of the depth of climate change

The recent and continuing massive anti-recession spending by nearly all G20 governments and their central banks, to "fight recession", is usually called Keynesian. The process, according to the IMF and other sources will "inject" perhaps \$4,000 billion through to December 2009, mostly into the bank, insurance and finance sector, only in the OECD countries, with more elsewhere. In one sense this is Keynesian: national debts and government budget deficits have increased, often radically. Several east European members of the EU27, and most famously Iceland, as well as Ireland, Ukraine, Pakistan, the UK and USA, and other countries have seen foreign and/or national debt grow so fast their public finances are now structurally unsound.

However, if the Keynesian deficit spending is not followed by a surge in growth, Keynesian bust will entrain the unthinkable prospect of central bank



failure, in several of these countries. We can note that an approximate \$4 trillion of 'Keynesian bailouts' in the OECD countries will be equivalent to roughly 6.5% of world total GDP in 2008, using IMF data.

This public debt joins massive private and corporate debt, including the 'derivatives overhang' in the global financial trading system, where outstanding nominal amounts in play are almost limitless, perhaps \$50,000 trillion. Like national debts, but even more so, these accumulated and stupendous amounts can never be redeemed or eliminated. This removes all credibility from talk of 'moralizing capitalism', or modernizing, reforming and regulating it - which will receive headline treatment at the coming Pittsburgh G20 meeting. Only economic growth permits this 'perpetual debt' from becoming

completely impossible to service.

One important point is that the current 'classic Keynesian' spending to fight recession is unlike most previous national deficit-financed plans or programs to restore economic growth, because final consumers are deliberately, and almost entirely excluded. One exception is 'cash for clunkers', in an attempt to save the car industry, in many OECD countries. The spending focus is supposedly on 'productive investments'. Within this the fight against climate change is a highly favored sector for Keynesian largesse, aided now by a constant widening of the role and scope of the Green Economy and sustainable development.

What is clear is that massive spending to fight recession and restore economic growth, with a few possible exceptions in some countries, has only had weak,


hesitant and ambiguous impacts in the OECD countries. Nevertheless, the Pittsburgh G20 summit will surely feature self-congratulation by heads of state and their finance and economic ministers that 'the worst of the recession is over'.

## LIBERALISM AND CRISIS

Until the crisis struck in 2008, most political deciders in OECD countries, and in Emerging Economies, studiously refrained from interfering with or intervening in the economy. This, as per 'Austrian school' notions, was a kind of self-regulating black box filled with Adam Smith's invisible hands to work the levers and buttons - about the perfect opposite of hands-on Keynesian interventionist big spending. Many 'Austrian school' defenders loudly remark this end of neoliberal non-interventionism, deploring the now massive, naked government action in almost any and all markets and lack of concrete results.

In the real world of today, economic intervention has never been so high and will increase further, most recently with plans to radically increase the fight against climate change.

Keynesian-type deficit spending as a way to fight recession was first proposed, by Keynes, in the 1930s crisis of capitalism, but never applied at the time. One little remarked reason for this was Keynes himself, and the strange and ambiguous ways he explained his proposals. Any honest reading of Keynes' works, by different readers, always produces different and usually contradictory conclusions as to what his works might be recommending. Another point, or a defence of Keynes is that his ideas on deficit spending did not become official policy and mainstream economic





practice in his lifetime, preventing him from 'tuning up' his ideas, changing them to fit the real world, or whatever.

To be sure, arguments can be made that 'the Bretton Woods world' was Keynesian. It can be argued the creation of the IMF and IBRD, state economic planning agencies and their macro management, or sometimes micro management of local and regional economies or sectors inside them, were and are all 'Keynesian inspired'.

The important point is that Keynesianism was never applied in the 1930s (whatever the actions of FDR). Nothing remotely similar to the current global economy existed in the 1930s. Keynesianism was never applied globally, and never worked globally in the 1930s. We can note and underline that the IMF has many times described the current recession as 'the worst since 1945', implying it could be '1930s-style'. However, since Keynesian-type remedies

were never tried under real world 1930s-style global crisis conditions, why should the present and massive, deficit-financed attempts at restoring global economic growth succeed?

### THE KEYNESIAN BLIND BET

The jury has to be out to lunch on whether the global economy will rebound. This is the first testing of 'Keynesian type' global economic management, or intervention attempted under real crisis conditions.

A long list of factors add to this doubt. Current deficit spending is un-Keynesian in the sense that final consumers are not directly enticed into spending more, given higher wages and salaries, and generate jobs by doing so. Investment in productive capacities and infrastructures is officially targeted, hoped for and encouraged, with the 'classic Keynesian' aim of generating jobs

later on.

On the ground, direct results of heavy deficit spending in the car industry is relatively weak, apart from a slight recovery in employment and activity in some national car industries. If and when government 'cash for clunkers' disappears, so will growth in the car sector of those OECD countries where subsidized car sales went furthest, according to many analysts. Thus the loudly proclaimed ambitions to fight climate change and generate 'Global green recovery', through persuading consumers to adopt renewable energy, but to buy new gasoline and diesel fuelled cars right now, apart from its schizophrenia, is far from certain to generate self-sustained growth.

What we find is partial and weak car factory job recovery, achieved by handing government cash to buyers of near-term future clunkers, who each day see media reports on electric and hybrid cars







coming by 2011. These, the media says, will entirely relegate oil fuelled cars to the waste bin of history, with a related resale value.

The fight against climate change features energy price raising through carbon taxes, to favour the entry of green energy, and electric car fleet growth will almost surely need big subsidies to replace oil fuelled cars. In part because electric cars are expensive, but also due to their radical difference, sales are moving slowly. This is more than hinted by China's BYD achieving almost inexistent home sales of its plug-in hybrids, in 2009 to date, and its CEO's appeals for government intervention and subsidies.

#### GREEN SHOOTS OR OVERSHOOT?

Through 2009 to date, entities such as the IPCC, G8 and G20 meetings, the Davos Forum and others have rivaled each other

in estimating and forecasting spending needs to fight climate change. These estimates are often around, or above \$500 billion a year for the period 2010-2020.

This spending will be mostly new and additional, over and above the approximate \$4 or \$5 trillion 'Keynesian injection' of the 2008-2010 period. To be sure, this signals the 'liberal hour' flirt with Austrian school notions of black box economic management has completely disappeared from the mindset of G20 leaderships. Unparalleled amounts of borrowed and printed cash will be utilised, making it ironically possible that an Austrian-style and ultra classic crisis of capitalism, like that of the 1930s, breaks out in the near-term future.

Over the past 4 months global finance media carries a war of words on whether the end of the recession can be declared. Unremarked by many, green shoot anticipation has likely changed the nature

and type of recession we are experiencing, as equity and commodity traders have enthusiastically recycled chunks of the "injected" Keynesian largesse. Market trading volumes have increased quite rapidly over the last 4 - 6 months, underlain by newfound confidence, but this can easily "unwind", given the right "signals". Until recently, market operators were rejecting the doomster warnings of Marc Faber, Nouriel Roubini and other pessimists that the wolf is well installed inside the sheep pen, but one day it might really be there, if we wait long enough.

Keynes had a celebrated one-liner about the short-term versus the long-term, underlining that only the short-term matters. As Keynes did not say, in the long-run both the wolf and the sheep will be dead, so why worry about huge budget deficits and national debts? The recent Keynesian excess, even if it does o



generate growth has added spiraling public debt to the private debt mountain. As the pessimists always remark, the basic unresolved questions hanging over the world economy, but particularly the OECD economies, concern its ability to recover from a combination of unprecedented public debt, added to extreme corporate and private debt.

If the debt mountain is not reduced and goes on growing, the 'Austrian school' warns, the full meltdown of the US dollar with gold at or beyond \$2,000 per ounce should be logical, as 'flight to quality' goes metallic, or ballistic. Study of gold/oil price relations over more than 35 years shows that gold/oil price ratios of around 20 are absolutely normal, implying that \$100 per barrel would be perfectly compatible with gold at \$2,000 per ounce. In theory yes, but gold prices sound warning bells even at today's \$1,000 per ounce, which would turn to deafening sirens when or if gold hits \$2,000 an ounce. This would be because global hyperinflation is arriving, and all shreds of remaining credibility for the US dollar and US government are lost. Likewise, oil prices staying above \$150 a barrel for any time will do the same.

#### GENETIC DAMAGE?

At extremes, there is no remaining flexibility in the global economic and monetary system. At these times waves of change overwhelm and replace previous models, structures or cycles. Since only the short-term matters, dixit Keynes, massive deficit spending must produce results in the short-term. In turn, given present spending, the almost bizarre lethargy, hesitancy and unpredictability of the "real economy" is even more striking and disquieting. This itself should sound warning bells in the world's chancelleries, as the expression

goes, but there is no official trace of this except mutterings from some central bankers that national hyper debt will have to be reduced, of course in the longer-term.

One possible explanation of the massive loss of honey bee populations in many countries features genetic damage due to GM crops. A possible analogy for the plight of the world economy can include initial damage due to financial engineering through the last 15-20 years, followed by Keynesian excess since 2008, and extended by climate change mitigation and green energy spending.

The key buzzword of 'sustainability' most surely does not fit the will o' the wisp or gene mutant green shoots appearing, episodically, in the global economy from Summer 2009. Underlying trends are well shown by a glance at data on world housebuilding and construction, airplane, shipbuilding, ocean freight and car industries. Concerning the last and as noted as above, consumers and users can ask the simple question of are they buying a product that will soon be redundant and outdated, due to the climate change-linked, and supposed rapid arrival of mass produced electric cars?

Incertitude is most surely the mother of caution, or at least hesitancy. Volatility in the financial markets, another example of incertitude, is traditionally much greater than volatility in its underlying driver, the real economy. Today we have extreme high volatility in both.

#### COMPARING LIKE WITH LIKE

One thing is sure: the world economy is vastly different from the 1930s economy treated by Keynes. The global economy is an apparent fact, but is it uniform, everywhere? Comforting claims are made by pessimists as well as optimists that



trends are more or less similar, more or less negative or positive in any country, both inside the OECD and the Emerging Economies. Convergence in the 'Global Economy' is proclaimed as a dominant economic, social and cultural theme, for at least 20 years.

This claimed uniformity makes the same mental leap economic analysts make in the OECD countries. The stated or unstated assumption is that stock and primary product markets run together and reflect the same basic 'upstream' changes, in the real economy, rather than





more simply and honestly only reflecting crests and slumps in exuberant, and less exuberant day trading sentiment, where convergence is simpler to achieve, but can unwind in an eyeblink. Real world evidence opposing politically correct notions of convergence and uniformity is massive. The immediate market conclusion from this is also evident: the real economy is hard to read and volatile; it might still be contracting; finance markets need to backtrack.

This runs against all apparent logic: the quantity of public money thrown at

the bank and finance sector since late 2008 should have generated an extreme or massive rebound in that sector, with at least some significant trickle down to the real economy. This trickle down mechanism, or hoped-for but obscure mechanism is now the pressure point, but evidence and data on its workings is so incoherent that the only honest reading is that emerging markets are probably even further disconnected from their real economies, than OECD markets. Both we can note, are 'mutating' or evolving, but not necessarily in the same direction.

One result is simple: markets have never been so opaque or lacking in 'visibility' as at present.

The signals were already fuzzy. Since late 2008 financial noise, vibration and friction has taken over. Electronic, atomic or thermodynamic analogies abound. The entropic effect of massive Keynesian 'injections' in the already heavily mutated finance and bank sector could help explain the apparently bizarre lack of clear action produced, making it now harder than ever to sound out the real economy, as Roubini and Faber, and





many others underline

Due to no previous Keynesian attempt at restoring global economic growth ever taking place, we cannot know what post-crisis 'Keynesian sustained growth' might include, other than various kinds of productive and other infrastructures. The rate of recovery is also open to question, despite simple logic suggesting a rapid recovery. As today's big deficit spenders often claim, the recession slope was almost vertical in late 2008 and early 2009, so why shouldn't the rebound be symmetrical and equally fast?

There is only one, but very important missing detail: the rebound has to come, first.

#### CARBON CERTITUDE

G20 leadership determination in moving the global economy to low carbon is only rising, in fact taking on the trappings of crusader zeal. The coming Copenhagen climate summit in December will see ever

tighter carbon emission limits being set across the economy and across the world, a possible international carbon tax or trade tariff, and further, large financial and economic aid to Cleantech in both OECD and emerging economies.

Underlying all this is certitude. Even if they don't know what is happening to their real economies, G20 leaders and their science advisers are sure and certain that world climate is changing for the worse, remedial action is urgent, and spending must be large. The closely linked stalking horse of Peak Oil does not openly figure in the rationale and reasoning offered for ever-rising, increasingly massive spending, and muscular legislation to force energy transition away from the fossil fuels, but surely adds yet more impetus to what will be huge long-term intervention in the economy.

Ironically or not, G20 led climate change mitigation effort is already the focus of rising wails from the Austrian school, which has thrown in the towel on

railing about the Keynesian largesse of 'recession mitigation' deficit spending, perhaps because of its almost unbelievable size.

Focusing on smaller sums, perhaps soon rising to \$500 billion a year (according to the 2009 Davos Forum) and used by G20 governments to speed energy transition through 2010-2020, the Austrian school rightly underlines the distortions this will create. As a rough guide, if this spending attained \$500 bn a year, this would be about 25% to 33% above total annual spending by the world's oil and gas industry in recent years. More important: would it be possible to ramp up to this level of spending on alternate energy and fighting climate change, so soon?

How this spending will be financed makes appeal to Keynesian miracles. Some G20 leaders, borrowing from Lord Stern's creative accounting, already have one-liners prepared for press conference grillings, of the type that big spending now will create beneficial climate and



environment multiplier impacts by about 2030-2050. Also, to be sure, they promise shorter-term low carbon multipliers for the car industry, in the shape of electric cars, and large net gains, over a certain but flexible time horizon, in the employment market through shifting away from oil, and to a lesser extent away from coal or gas.

### THE CYCLE AMPLIFIES

Forecasting when or if the recession will end is almost impossible: we can only be sure of uncertainty. The crisis itself marked a massive evolution and change of the economy, with unknown future impacts on the global economy, and sectors in it, specially the energy, car and transport industries. This mutation is an

joined by a heavy dose of cosmic radiation in the shape of supposedly 'Keynesian' deficit spending, in unprecedented amounts. On top of this will come massive spending on the fight against climate change.

The simplest read-out from this is increased uncertainty, faster global economic change, rising volatility for any market, anywhere, and a rising tendency for a stampede to quality anytime the signals are bad. Markets, as well as economic sectors could or might quite rapidly de-converge or re-segment. On purely theoretical grounds given the vast intervention, the deficit spending, the change of economic structure - and the extreme sluggish pace of recovery - we should have double digit inflation within weeks or months !

This could happen, but where national and reserve currencies cannot exhibit double-digit (or triple digit) inflation, or can be prevented from doing so, market values of other 'tradable instruments' will take the strain. In other words a global financial market reset of the type that has already happened once this year. To be sure, the reset of nominal values can only be down.

In turn, this will allow market volatility to be brought under control, ushering in a new economic cycle. In the present context, due to the constant onrush of conflicting and contrarian signals, market operators can only show more than usual excesses of fear and hope. As we know, any period of high volatility generates or gives way to another cycle. The only problem is judging what shape and form the new cycle will have, and which way it is tilted.

Testing this hypothesis will be easy in the coming Quarter. Until and unless there is really concrete evidence of real economy growth and recovery, no smooth and clear cycle can emerge, probably for at least one, or several quarters ahead. This whipsaw profile can continue well into 2010. This would both absorb and reinforce switchbacks of sentiment driven by climate change spending, Keynesian spending, oil and gold price peaks and crashes, the US dollar's feats of volatility, the Euro's feats of volatility, the agonies and ecstasies of the car industry, runs on central banks in several countries not necessarily restricted to small and unimportant countries, and all other factors in play.

How the correction is made will likely be traditional. An October crisis driven by external change, linked with the global economic and finance crisis, and linked with or driven by change in the political, policy, social, geopolitical, climate or environmental domains.







## Labour's Communist Connections

John Bean asks why is it that because Nick Griffin and one or two other BNP activists were National Front supporters in their youth they must never be forgiven for youthful indiscretions? Yet several leading Labour politicians were once active supporters of Communism, a political philosophy that has been responsible for the murder or manslaughter of least 50 million people. Its practitioners even made the murder of six million by Hitler look like the work of an amateur.



The media assault upon the BNP began in earnest a month before last June's Euro elections. With the return of its two MEPs it began to intensify and reached a crescendo with the infamous Question Time programme in which Nick Griffin was subject to a Stalinist era type court inquisition at which the so-called chairman, David Dimbleby orchestrated the campaign of vilification.

Appropriately for a 'Stalinist court', leading this campaign on behalf of the Labour Party was the so-called justice minister, Jack Straw who although ineffective as a debater on the programme, set the subsequent campaign of representing British nationalists calling for a halt to further immigration as the personification of evil, even though no modern nationalist movement, including the inept National Front, has ever been responsible for the death of one person, let alone the 50 million or more that the Communist regimes that Straw and many other prominent Labour politicians have supported. In fact Communism killed far more people than fascism or Nazism and went on killing them in millions after the Second World War.

Until the BNP was able to show that it is here to stay and that its million votes of 2009 will not diminish but only expand, the bulk of the population had been conditioned in the schools, colleges, universities and by all facets of the media to equate belief in racial cultural differences (superiority or inferiority does not come into it) with National Socialism. Because Hitler based much of his creed on racial differences their logic says that if you also believe that there are racial differences, therefore you must be a Nazi! It has as much relevance today as saying that if you are a devout Catholic then you support those who burned Protestants at the stake or vice versa

This is why we are now seeing this campaign of hatred against the BNP, based mainly on outright lies, being put forward by liberal-left journalists and TV programme producers because the people, particularly in Labour's old heartlands, have at last seen through this misrepresentation of what are basically patriotic views. It is becoming clear that the British people do not like unfair treatment, even if they do not agree with every aspect of BNP policies.

This misrepresentation and downright lies comes natural with Communists. One only has to read the works of Marx, Engels and Lenin and recall the actions of Stalin, Trotsky, Mao-Tse-Tung and Pol Pot to see it is so. It is really amazing that so many prominent Labour politicians supported it whilst the

'wicked' Griffin as a teenager once supported the National Front before it marched itself into obscurity.

...no modern nationalist movement has ever been responsible for the death of one person, let alone the 50 million or more that the Communist regimes that Straw and many other prominent Labour politicians have supported.

In 1969, Jack Straw was elected President of the National Union of Students with the support of the Communist and Socialist Societies. In The Independent of November 16, 2004, Robert Fisk disparagingly referred to Straw as a





former Trotskyist. A furious Straw wrote in that this was a libel and that his "sympathies and training could be traced back to Stalinism".

Mandelson, current Business Secretary and the man tipped to take over the Labour Party from Gordon Brown, was proud to have been in the Young Communist League in the 1970s. No doubt he had a few reminiscences with other former Comrades when he was the EU's trade commissar in Brussels.

Another former young comrade was Alastair Darling, the Chancellor of the Exchequer. The present Home Secretary Alan Johnson started his political life as a Trotskyite, although it must be said that he has long since moved to Labour's centre.

Tony Blair never dallied with the comrades but pursued a policy of obtaining power and wealth. He in fact admitted that on deciding to enter politics he had to decide whether it was to be as a Conservative or a Labour politician. Interestingly though, from 2001 the General Secretary of the Labour Party, responsible for raising the funds to keep Tony Blair's show on the road, had been David Maxim Triesman. No more blatant example could be found of the true face behind New Labour's moderate churchgoing mask.

Back in his student days in 1968 Triesman was suspended from Essex University for breaking up a meeting where a defence scientist was speaking. As an active Communist Party member, Triesman was committed to a strategy of violent disruption. After the above incident he wrote:

"What we should do if the situation were to arise again would be to behave as provocatively as necessary and to effectively sanction the university to the extent that they need to use force, probably the police.

"The generation developing in this country will not want to pay lip service to the international struggle against imperialism, colonialism and racism. It will be in conflict with capitalism as the parent of these enemies. It will become an enemy within the fortresses of capitalism."

Alan Milburn, Labour MP for Darlington who is to retire at the next election, was formerly the Secretary of State for Health, one of the most important positions in Tony Blair's Cabinet. But his first job was in a left-wing bookshop which also served as a headquarters for numerous ultra-left groups in the North-East of England. Milburn was an active member of one of these, the International Marxist Group (IMG).

Mandelson, current Business Secretary and the man tipped to take over the Labour Party from Gordon Brown, was proud to have been in the Young Communist League in the 1970s.

The IMG had a strong reputation for street violence during the late 1960s and early 1970s. Its best known member, Tariq Ali, recently published his political memoirs under the title *Street Fighting Years*.

Dr John Reid was at one time Labour's Defence Secretary, then Leader of the House of Commons, and, until he made a hash of it, the Home Secretary. Reid and Tony Blair presumed to lecture Ulstermen on the need for negotiation with IRA terrorists. Yet Reid himself is a former member of the Communist Party. During his years as an active Communist his party was funded by secret Moscow gold, brought into Britain by the Communist official Reuben Falber.

Another veteran of the Young Communist League is Kevin Brennan, Labour MP for Cardiff West. Brennan succeeded William Hague as President of the Oxford Union in 1982 and is the former right-hand man to outgoing Welsh First Minister Rhodri Morgan.

Dr Kim Howells, Labour MP for Pontypridd and one-time Minister at the Department of Culture, Media & Sport in Tony Blair's government, is another former member of the Communist Party. Unlike Mandelson and Brennan this was no youthful aberration - Howells was an active Communist in his mid-30s, even while Brezhnev's tanks were rolling into Afghanistan.

Judy Mallaber, Labour MP for the Derbyshire constituency of Amber Valley, is another New Labour addition to the former Communist clique that was added after the 1997 election. Before becoming an MP she chaired the Labour Research Department, a Marxist outfit which is not part of the Labour Party, but she was herself a member of the Communist Party.

Jim Fitzpatrick is on multiracial Britain's front line as Labour MP for the East London constituency of Poplar & Canning Town. When Anti-Nazi League thugs confronted certain nationalist groups on the streets of his constituency Fitzpatrick must have been reminded of his youth as a member of the Socialist Workers Party (SWP). Formerly known as the International Socialists, the SWP ran the ANL, and now UAF, as a classic front operation. This outfit goes back to the 1940s origins of British Trotskyism, when it was founded by Ygael Gluckstein, using the alias Tony Cliff. A former chairman of the London Labour Party, Fitzpatrick was a Labour Whip, responsible for discipline among New Labour backbenchers. In his past role as Parliamentary Private Secretary to Alan





Milburn (see above) Fitzpatrick doubtless shared some exciting memories of far left factionalism, since the IMG and the IS/SWP were rarely best of friends.

Dr Ian Gibson, the Labour MP for Norwich North who resigned last year over expenses claims, was chairman of the Science and Technology Committee

of the House of Commons. Before joining Labour he, like Jim Fitzpatrick, was a follower of Ygael Gluckstein and the International Socialists/Socialist Workers Party.

Finally, let us not forget that in spite of being notified on several occasions of the violent behaviour of Unite Against

Fascism (UAF), Tory Party leader David Cameron still remains a sponsor of this Marxist gang.

We should remember this: "The world is a dangerous place to live; not because of the people who are evil, but because of the people who don't do anything about it."



# WHITES BECOME AN ETHNIC MINORITY

## Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)

### British Bangladeshi

When Nick Griffin appeared on Question Time did you notice the Asian in the audience who said: "I am British Bangladeshi. This is a problem of many from Bangladesh, Pakistan or India who come to Britain, expect to be treated fully equally to those born here but insist in hanging on to their Bangladeshi, Pakistan or India connection.

If I had been born in Spain, for example, I would be saying that I am Spanish and that's the end of it.

Denise L  
East Sussex

### BBC Question Time



If the Marxist Mob had known how the infamous Question Time programme was to be loaded against Nick Griffin they probably would not have stormed the TV Centre gates. The panel and its incompetent chairman Dimbleby were clearly resolved to incite the audience.

This prejudiced chairman exercised no control and frequently allowed protagonists to shout down Mr Griffin whilst he was civilly trying to answer

questions. The main offender was Jack Straw whose opening, and well rehearsed, tirade revealed that he and his Party had 'got the wind up' about their likely defeat at the next election. It was clever of Nick to sit quietly while the enemy were at each other's throat, as well as his.

Those who seek to exploit Nick Griffin's past errors should remember that one day their own misdemeanours may be revealed.

S. C. Carr  
Essex

### Patriotic Poetry

I have loved poetry all my life and thought there were no really good poems left to read until I read about Peter Mills's recitation of Puck's Song by Kipling in Identity 101.

I have read little of Kipling because many of his poems do not flow as well as those of the romantic poets. However, Puck's Song is an exception. It is exactly the kind of patriotic poem I like. It clearly shows that Peter Mills's membership of the BNP is based on love - love of England. Love is surely the best way of winning people over to the BNP, just as Peter did by the applause from those in his local pub after reciting the poem.

Possibly my favourite verse from the poem is:  
*See you our little mill that clacks,  
So busy, by the brook?*

*She has ground her corn and paid her tax  
Ever since Domesday Book.*

This simple picture of a mill connects the reader with the English past over nearly a thousand years. It does not have to be a mill. It could be a timber framed building, a Saxon church, an ancient open hearth fire, the oak beams that support an old roof, and many other examples. All are capable of transporting us back and evoking a love for England.

I must read more of Kipling.

Trevor Brown  
York

### Visit Our Pensioners



Here's an idea which I think will be a vote winner:

What about if we have a BNP task force, especially the ladies, who visit old age pensioners in their area on behalf of the BNP for a chat and a cuppa. Also the same group could visit old age pensioners in hospital and any members that are in hospital.

In some ways this is as good as leafleting. Also it would make happy and committed members who would extol the virtues of the Party.



Older members would also consider leaving part of/all of their estate to the Party - given that we are the only ones that visit them at home and in hospital. I did not mean this as a money spinner - we could provide a good service to the public and our reward would be more voters and members.

**Steve**  
E-mail

### Nick's Cancelled Sellafield Visit



There has been much anger over the decision of the Sellafield management to block a request for Nick Griffin MEP to visit (not least because Sinn Fein leaders were welcomed there *before* the IRA had even disarmed). I believe that Nick wishes to visit the site primarily to gather information on nuclear energy in general, in order to support a more effective nationalist energy policy.

Frankly, I am less concerned by Sellafield's decision. I worked for the site as a safety case consultant for over 3 years. Aside from the Thorp reprocessing plant, which has in fact processed only a modest amount of spent fuel since its commissioning in the mid 90's, the only activity taking place at Sellafield these days is decommissioning. Sellafield's responsibilities are now limited to taking apart old buildings. There is plenty of work to be done here. It will keep thousands of well paid consultants, contractors and safety case

managers busy for the rest of their lives.

For this reason, the company is not particularly interested in new nuclear build. The Sellafield site is unlikely to play any role in a nuclear renaissance. The company plays no part in new reactor design or construction. A few of the nuclear engineers who were originally involved in the UK Advanced Gas Reactor programme can still be found scattered amongst the various consultancies that carry out work for Sellafield and other sites. Most of them are close to retirement age.

If the chairman is interested in nuclear new build, he would probably do better talking to Rolls Royce Naval Marine, who construct naval pressurised water reactors for the UK's nuclear submarine fleet. This is the only UK company that is actually constructing nuclear reactors right now. They have recently signed an agreement with AREVA to provide nuclear expertise during construction of the EPR primary circuits. If the UK were to embark upon a native nuclear programme with home-grown technology, Rolls-Royce will almost certainly be at the centre of it.

**Tony Holroyd**  
E-mail

### Europeans and Immigration



A recent Daily Mail article criticised a millionaire BNP supporter and donor for having a wife of Serbian ancestry. I wrote

to the paper pointing out that the party had members of Italian and Polish descent as well as others of Continental descent and that BNP MEP Andrew Brons had a grandfather of German origin. This is not hypocritical as the BNP welcomes members of 'indigenous British and Irish Caucasian and kindred European ethnicity'.

My wife is of northern Italian origin who supports the Lega Nord Party and we both vote BNP in European elections. We both recognise that the BNP is right to oppose mass, uncontrolled immigration from anywhere because of the intolerable pressure on housing, schools, hospitals and employment.

I believe a Nationalist government could permit strictly controlled European immigration, while stopping Third World immigration, particularly when full employment and social justice is achieved.

I also sympathise with the new European Nationalist Block which the BNP is joining, which could form a Confederation of European Nations.

**Robert Best**  
E-mail

### Trevor Has Not Joined

Congratulations on your very professional production. Only one point: my wife looked at the picture on page 18 and said: "Who's that? Is he a member of the British National Party?" Naïve perhaps, but Trevor Philips' name in large white letters might have illuminated the situation.

We both appreciated the Christian article: no other party has such a thing.

**Donald Stevens**  
E-mail





*Exclusive Invitation*

# Life Membership

You can help your party and your country by signing up to our exclusive LIFE membership plan. You can start to enjoy the benefits of becoming a LIFE member with a very special price of only £495 TODAY! No more renewals, no more fuss, just a once in a lifetime payment of £495, that's it!

When you join today we will send you one of these exclusive bespoke BNP watches, superb quality and styling, only for LIFE members.

Take advantage of this exclusive once in a lifetime opportunity by calling **0207 078 3269** TODAY!

Come on, what are you waiting for?  
Call us now on **0207 078 3269**.




**JOIN TODAY**

**FOR JUST**

**£495**

**And receive this  
Limited Edition  
exclusive F.S.I.D  
party watch with  
inscribed face.**

**Call on 0207 078 3269**



Watches May Vary



Enjoy the many benefits of a LIFE membership with the British National Party, one of the most important investments that you can ever make.

By becoming a LIFE member of the BNP you will not only have priority access to many party events, you will also be making a lifelong contribution in our struggle to save our country, our way of life and our British identity.

As a LIFE member you are showing the lead to others in our quest to reclaim our beloved nation from those who wish to destroy everything British and our island race.

## LIFE Membership Includes the Following Benefits

- FREE** top quality, exclusive, engraved wristwatch his or hers.
- FREE** exclusive LIFE MEMBER pin badge to wear with pride and dignity.
- FREE** lifelong subscription to new *Identity Magazine* 64pp. (£4.95)
- FREE** lifelong 'annual party reports'. (£6.95)
- FREE** complimentary copies of the party's magazine *Hope and Glory*.
- FREE** prestigious LIFE MEMBER certificate parchment scroll for framing.
- FREE** limited edition 8x10 signed picture of Party Chairman Nick Griffin MEP.

In addition to this incredible range of benefits, LIFE MEMBERS have the knowledge that THEY are supporting the party as we work towards building a secured future for our loved ones and our nation.

TITLE: ☐ Dr ☐ Rev ☐ Mr ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Ms Current Mem No:

FIRST NAME:  LAST NAME:

HOUSE N°:  STREET:

TOWN:  POSTCODE:

TEL N°:  DATE OF BIRTH:

E-MAIL:

## Pay by Cheque or Postal Order

☐ I enclose my cheque for £495 (payable to 'British Heritage' or 'BNP')

## Pay by Credit or Debit Card

☐ Please debit my card for the LIFE MEMBERSHIP offer £495

Visa ☐ Delta ☐ Maestro ☐ Mastercard ☐ Amex ☐

Card Number

Valid From  Expiry Date  Security Code  Issue N° if Maestro

☐ Please tick here if you want to receive your monthly members' bulletin by e-mail.

☐ NO, I do not want to take advantage of the exclusive LIFE MEMBERSHIP offer.





# TRAFALGAR CLUB

0207 078 8839




The Trafalgar Club is an exclusive dining club for supporters of the British National Party. You don't have to be a member of the BNP to belong to the Trafalgar Club, so it is a perfect way to stay anonymous but still support the cause.

Members of the Trafalgar Club are held in high esteem by the party and our members. The Trafalgar Club fulfils an important function and contribution to the cause.

The Trafalgar Club has now been officially re-launched, revamped and completely overhauled. The party has invested heavily in re-launching the Trafalgar Club under the new Club Secretary who works full time to ensure the highest degree of service and the best possible experience for Trafalgar Club members.

## RE-LAUNCH



*Exclusive Dining Club*

## ENGLAND EXPECTS